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## 1. Abstract

In here proposed thesis, the use of higher-order linked interpolation in the design of plate and facet shell finite elements is analysed for elastic behavior. In the Timoshenko (thick) beam elements linked interpolation completely eliminates the shear-locking problem and is capable of returning the exact result for arbitrary polynomial loading. Here, the basis for development of higher-order Mindlin plate and shell elements is found in the analogy between the Timoshenko beam theory and the Mindlin plate theory. The aim of the proposed thesis is to validate the approach not only on low-order elements, which has been already extensively studied by various authors, but also on higher-order elements to improve the accuracy of the method and to demonstrate that they are competitive to other elements from literature. The results obtained on standard test examples are compared and numerically assessed against the reference results from literature and from the finite-element programme FEAP, using various mesh densities and various order of interpolation. On this basis, the new family of facet shell elements are also developed, analysed, compared and assessed against the elements from literature.

Key words: Finite element method, Mindlin plate theory, linked interpolation, higherorder elements, shell elements.
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## Sažetak

U ovoj dizertaciji, analizirana je primjena vezane interpolacije višeg reda u modeliranju ploča i ravnih ljuski za elastična ponašanja modela konstrukcija. Kod Timošenkovih (srednje debelih) grednih elemenata vezana interpolacija u potpunosti eliminira problem 'shear-lockinga' i sposobna je proizvesti točno rješenje za proizvoljno polinomno opterećenje. Polazište za razvoj elemenata za Mindlinove ploče i ravne ljuske je u analogije između Timošenkove teorije greda i Mindlinove teorije ploča. Cilj je predložene teme da afirmira pristup ne samo na elementima nižeg reda, što je već često primjenjeno kod različitih autora, nego i na elementima višeg reda kako bi se povećala točnost metode, te dokazala kompetitivnost naspram drugih elemenata iz literature. Dobiveni rezultati na standardnim primjerima uspoređeni su s referentnim rezultatima iz literature, te s rezultatima iz FEAP programa (Finite Element Analysis Program), na mrežama različite gustoće, te uz pomoć interoplacija različitog reda. Na toj osnovi razvijeni konačni elementi primjenjeni su i na ravne ljuske, te uspoređeni sa sličnim elementima iz literature u cilju njihova vrednovanja.

Ključne riječi: metoda konačnih elemenata, Mindlinova teorija ploča, vezana interpolacija, elementi višeg reda, elementi za ljuske.
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## 2. Introduction

The subject of bending of plates and its extension to shells was one of the first to which the finite element method was applied in the early 1960s [1]. In contrast to the problem of bending of beams and frames, which was thoroughly theoretically studied and the solution methods developed, bending of plates could be analytically solved only in a limited number of simple boundary situations, and even then most often formed as a series of polynomial or trigonometric functions. And yet, plates and shells are very interesting structural types, because they often make the most natural choice that can efficiently cover operational hollow spaces. However, the plate and especially the shell forms are very seldom geometrically simple. For this reason, appropriate solution methods for arbitrary shaped planes and domes with arbitrary supporting conditions were being extensively developed throughout the 20th century. An efficient solution method was found quite early in the finite element technique [1], but only introducing the computer technology made it possible to solve the practical problems with sufficient accuracy.

Plates and shells belong to a particular class of 3D bodies for which two dimensions are dominant comparable to the third one (thickness) and either belong to a plane (plates) or are curved in one or two directions (shells). The third dimension is very small compared to the other two dimensions, so that the stresses across the thickness may be represented with the stress resultants in form of in-and out-of-plane forces and bending moments.
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The finite element method as the most desirable method for solving the plate and shell problems is a procedure based on dividing a continuum into a set of segments ('finite elements') [1]. The elements are assumed to be interconnected at the discrete number of nodal points placed on their boundaries and in their interior. The displacements and, in plates and shells, the rotations of these nodal points are the basic unknown parameters of the problem. A set of functions should be chosen to define uniquely the displacement and rotations fields within each element and on its boundaries in term of the nodal displacements and rotations. These functions must define uniquely the state of strain within the element and together with the constitutive properties of the material they also uniquely define the state of stress throughout the element. A system of the resulting forces acting at the nodes is determined from this stress state that balances the boundary and any distributed loads, resulting in a system of equations from which the nodal parameters can be obtained. The concept is quite simple, but many difficulties have been met with and overcome in its application and the research in modeling plates and shells has remained active to the present day.

In this thesis, we propose a new idea for developing the plate and shell elements by introducing a family of interpolation functions for displacement fields of arbitrary order with special capabilities that follow from the beam element analysis and involve the displacement parameters as well as the rotational parameters at the nodal points.

The idea of linking the displacement field to the rotations of the cross-sections has been often studied and thoroughly investigated and exploited in finite-element applications of the Mindlin moderately thick plate theory [1-16]. It has been found out
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that the idea on its own cannot eliminate the problem of shear locking even though this result may be achieved for the Timoshenko beam elements [1, 17-23]. Different improvements have been proposed by different authors, which involve adjusted material parameters [24] or the assumed or enhanced strain concepts [2,14,15,25] or are based on the use of mixed and hybrid approaches [3,6,7,9,11,12].

The elements designed to solve the Kirchhoff plate problem [1,26,27] have the whole deformation expressed with just one unknown field, namely the lateral displacement of the plate mid-surface $w$. In the Kirchhoff theory, there are no shear strains and as a result any interpolation of this field has to satisfy $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ continuity, because the strains within the element are expressed via the second derivatives of $w$. The determination of suitable shape functions is thus relatively demanding and slope continuity is required on the interfaces between adjacent elements. With this, mathematical and computational difficulties rise disproportionately fast.

On the other hand, the elements designed to solve the Mindlin plate problem [1,3,7] in which the sear strains in the direction orthogonal to the mid-surface do exist and are assumed as constant through the thickness, have both the displacement $w$ and the rotations $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ interpolated. As a result the strains are expressed using just the first derivatives of these fields. $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ continuity for shape functions is then sufficient but locking effects are usually observed for the thin limit cases, in which the rotations are expected to approach the first derivatives of the displacement field.
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Various element types have been developed to overcome these difficulties resulting in new techniques and algorithms [8-16]. As examples, some of these elements will be described here in more detail.

For the thin-plate problems, the DKT-elements (discrete Kirchhoff theory elements) [e.g. 10] have to be mentioned for their efficiency. In defining their deformation, both the nodal displacement and the rotations are used as the parameters for interpolation, like in the Mindlin plate elements. At first, both the vertex nodes and the mid-side nodes are considered, but then the mid-side node parameters are eliminated from the final stiffness matrix by constraining shear strain to zero (the Kirchhoff assumption [2]) at certain discrete points (usually the Gauss integration points). Both triangular and quadrilateral elements can be modeled in this way. Various types of DKTelements can be constructed depending on the position of the constraint points and on the parameters to be eliminated [1].

The early Mindlin plate elements are isoparametric quadrilaterals [1], in which the same integration is used to define both the geometry and deformation and with $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ continuity they are able to describe constant strain states necessary for consistency of the model. These elements tend to lock when approaching thin limit (when the thickness-to-span ratio tends to zero) if a lowest order integration is applied. An improvement is observed if reduced quadrature is selectively applied in integration of the shear terms [1].

Another approach to eliminating the shear-locking problems is the so-called mixed formulation. In the mixed formulation [1], together with the displacement and rotation
fields, the shear force is interpolated independently and discontinuously over the element edges. By imposing stationarity of an appropriately defined functional, a mixed element matrix is formed with the displacement-based parameters and the shear-force parameters. The latter may be eliminated to form the condensed element stiffness matrix. To perform the condensation, certain conditions have to be fulfilled: the matrix block associated with the shear force must approach zero in the thin limit situation and the so-called count conditions [1,3,7] have to hold: the sum of the displacement and rotation parameters has to be greater than the number of the shearforce parameters and the number of shear-force parameters has to be greater than the number of the displacement parameters in an element. It turns out that the reduced selective integration is equivalent to the mixed form with a discontinuous shear force [26].

Using mixed formulation, a new class of elements has been designed introducing additional 'bubble modes' for the rotation field [1]. These 'bubble modes' can be interpreted as enhanced strain modes in shear and bending $[3,7]$ and they are useful in satisfying the mixed count conditions, making this class of elements very robust.

Introducing linked interpolation $[3,6,7,12,24,28]$ in the form presented in this thesis on the basic triangles and quadrilaterals, together with the bubble modes, another class of mixed elements may be derived, which has excellent performance compared to the above element classes. Examples of this class of elements are the Auricchio and Taylor T3BL, T3-LIM and Q4-LIM elements presented in detail in 5.4.2.

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell

 Finite ElementsThere also exist other approaches aimed at removing the shear-locking problem and improving accuracy of the solution in general. Tessler and Hughes [24], for instance, introduce a correction coefficient to adjust the shear energy in order to remove locking. With their technique, however, the correction coefficient becomes a new user-defined parameter without a proper physical justification.

In the so-called assumed strain approaches (see e.g. Ibrahimbegović [2] and Chen and Cheung [14-16]) the shear strain is deliberately interpolated on its own in order to provide the required lower order distribution than that obtained from the kinematic equations. This technique is effectively capable of reproducing the results of the mixed approaches, even though it lacks the proper mathematical background present in those approaches.

A related method evolves from the so-called enhanced strain technique of Simo and Rifai [25], designed to offer a variational basis stemming from the three-field HuWashizu functional. There also exist various hybrid approaches (see e.g. de Ubertini and Miranda's [9]) in which the stress resultants (and sometimes also the stress-couple resultants) are prescribed in a way which satisfies the differential equilibrium equation within an element.

In this thesis we generalise the ideas given in [18] to the plate problem. Remaining firmly in the framework of the standard displacement-based design technique, we shall derive the families of triangular and quadrilateral thick plate and shell elements by extending the higher-order linked interpolation functions developed for the Timoshenko beams. Within this approach, the kinematic and constitutive equations of

[^0]the problem are satisfied in the strong sense, while the equilibrium equations are satisfied in the weak sense with the unknown displacement and rotation fields as the only interpolated quantities. Even though the Mindlin theory of thick plates may be regarded as a 2D generalisation of the Timoshenko theory of thick beams, the differential equations of equilibrium for thick plates cannot be solved in terms of a finite number of parameters and so, in contrast to beams, there does not exist an exact finite-element interpolation.

In contrast to most of the existing displacement-based approaches, which base their developments on constraining the shape functions for the displacement and the rotation fields so as to produce a required distribution of the shear strains [8,10,24,29], here we extend the higher-order linked interpolation functions developed for the Timoshenko beam to the plate structures and investigate the results and their relationships with the known approaches. For example, in $[1,3,30]$ such interpolation has been used to formulate three-node triangular and four-node quadrilateral thick plate elements, while in $[2,6]$ a six-node triangular and an eight-node quadrilateral elements have been proposed. A family of triangular elements designed in this way has been proposed in [8].

Generalizing this idea to arbitrary curvilinear quadrilateral and triangular shapes (on higher order elements) is non-trivial and special care needs to be taken for such elements to satisfy the standard patch tests. We present a manner in which these new elements may be properly developed, which involves additional internal displacement
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degrees of freedom as in [29] and we conduct some numerical tests which demonstrate the potential of this approach, in particular for the higher-order elements.

After presenting briefly the Timoshenko beam theory an the Mindlin plate theory in Section 3, in Section 4 we present the family of interpolation functions for the Timoshenko beam elements and specifically analyse the solution for two-, three- and four-node beam elements and appropriate load conditions.

In Section 5 we present the families of triangular and quadrilateral plate elements beginning with the basic triangular three-node element and the quadrilateral four-node element, for which the constant shear strain condition imposed on the element edges is known to lead to an interpolation for the displacement field which is dependent not only on the nodal displacements, but also on the nodal rotations around the in-plane normal directions to the element edges. The same result may be obtained by generalising the linked interpolation for beams [18] to 2D situations. This approach enables a straightforward generalisation of the linked-interpolation beam concept to higher-order triangular plate elements leading to additional internal degrees of freedom which do not exist in the beam elements. The matrix element formulation is presented in a general form. We next compare the presented elements with some similar elements from literature. As an alternative, for instance, imposing a shearstrain distribution of a specified order over the element domain may be achieved following the approach presented in [8] where a family of displacement-based linkedinterpolation triangular elements has been derived which, in contrast, does not involve internal degrees of freedom. The patch test is considered as very important for testing
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the consistency of elements, ensuring convergence of the finite element solution problem. The necessary condition for convergence is to satisfy constant bending state over the element domain in the mesh limit, and all the presented elements satisfy this condition independently of the patch geometry set. However, all the higher-order elements satisfy even the more rigorous constant shear test exactly. In Section 5 we also conduct numerical tests on the standard benchmark examples for thick plates. A detailed analysis is given for the square, rhombic and circular plate examples both for the thick and for the thin plate.

In Section 6 we explore the possibilities of merging the plate elements developed in Section 5 with the membrane in-plane effects, forming moderately thick facet shell triangular and quadrilateral elements. Some test examples are run with using the developed elements and compared with the SHELL element from FEAP programme of R.L.Taylor [1].

The three-node and four-node elements are very simple to describe in a structural model compared to the higher order elements with ten or sixteen nodes for this reason and thus they are widely used in practical problems. With this in mind, in Section 7 we present an idea of enriching the basic low-order elements with the bubble functions coming from higher order interpolations for displacements and rotations. The new enriched elements are incompatible with adjacent elements except at the nodal points, but they do not contain zero-energy modes and are extremely accurate in describing deformation and stress even in otherwise difficult tests. The numerical results for this type of elements are also given in section 7.
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This thesis is concluded by summarising the most important findings in section 8 .

## 3. Differential equations of beam and plate theories

Here we want to outline the differences between beam and plate theories when they are developed just on bending deformations or expanded to the effects of shear and how they can be solved with discretisation techniques via finite element method.

### 3.1. Timoshenko beam theory

When describing a beam theory a coordinate system must be introduced first. The $x$ coordinate is taken along the length of the beam, $z$-coordinate along the thickness or height of the beam and $y$-coordinate along the width of the beam. The displacements (u, v, w) correspond to global coordinates $(x, y, z)$ and it is assumed that $v$ displacement is always equal to zero, i.e. the applied loading and geometry are such to produce displacements only in $x$ and $z$ directions.

The simplest beam theory assumes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, z)=-z \frac{d w_{0}}{d x} \quad \text { and } \quad w(x, z)=w_{0}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{0}$ is the transverse deflection of the point $(x, 0)$ on the mid-plane of the beam. The displacement field (1) implies plane normal section to remain plane and normal to the deformed line of the mid-plane of the beam (see Fig. 1.a). This theory is called the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory (sometimes just Bernoulli theory) and in it the transverse shear and normal strains are neglected. What follows is that the rotation of the section

[^1]can be expressed as:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x)=\frac{u(x, z)}{z}=-\frac{d w_{0}}{d x} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma(x)=\frac{d w_{0}}{d x}+\theta(x)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

at every beam section.

undeformed beam crossection
Timoshenko beam theory

Fig. 1: Initial and deformed configuration of a thin and of a moderately thick

> beam

In contrast to the Bernoulli beam theory, in the Timoshenko beam theory the cross section of a beam also remains planar after the deformation, but not necessarily
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orthogonal to the beam centroidal axis at the mid-plane so the horizontal displacement of the point depends on the rotation of the cross section (see Fig. 1.b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, z)=z \theta(x) \quad \text { and } \quad w(x, z)=w_{0}(x) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This departure from orthogonality is the shear angle

$$
\gamma(x)=\frac{d w_{0}}{d x}+\theta(x)=w^{\prime}+\theta,
$$

where the dash (') indicates a differentiation with respect to the co-ordinate $x$.

After defining the bending moment as a cross-sectional stress-couple resultant with respect to the mid-surface of the section:

$$
M(x)=\int_{A} z \sigma_{x x} d A
$$

and the shear force as a shear stress resultant over the section:

$$
S(x)=\int_{A} \tau_{z x} d A,
$$

equilibrium condition can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d M(x)}{d x}+S(x)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d S(x)}{d x}=-q(x) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the latest expression $q(x)$ is a distributed transverse load that acts on the centroidal
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axis of the beam.

Let the stress resultants $M$ and $S$ be linearly dependent on curvature (change of crosssectional rotation) and shear angle via

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E I \theta^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad S=G A_{s} \gamma \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E I$ and $G A_{s}$ are the bending and shear stiffness, respectively. Introducing (5) into (4) results in the following differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E I \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}=-q \quad \text { and } \quad G A_{s}\left(w^{\prime \prime}+\theta^{\prime}\right)=-q . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of Euler-Bernoulli theory, where assumptions (2) hold, equations (6) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E I w^{I V}=-q \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the index ' $I V$ ' denotes the fourth derivative of the transverse displacement of the centroidal axis with respect to the position on the beam (x-coordinate).

As the Euler-Bernoulli theory is just the limiting case of the Timoshenko theory when $\gamma$ is approaching to zero, the solution of equations (6) should hold in both cases. Actually, (6) has the following closed-form solution for a polynomial loading $q$ of order n-4 [18]:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i} \theta_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i} w_{i}-\frac{L}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} N_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1}\binom{n-1}{i-1} \theta_{i}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the beam length, $\theta_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ are the values of the displacements and the rotations at the $n$ nodes equidistantly spaced between the beam ends, $I_{i}$ are the standard Lagrangen polynomials of order $n-1$, and $N_{j}=\frac{x}{L}$ for $j=1$ and $N_{j}=1-\frac{n-1}{j-1} \frac{x}{L}$ otherwise. In the natural co-ordinate system (bi-unit beam segment domain from $\xi_{\mathrm{L}}=-1$ to $\xi_{\mathrm{R}}=1$ ) with $\xi=\frac{2 x}{L}-1$ the displacement solution reads $w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i} w_{i}-\frac{L}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\xi-\xi_{i}}{2} I_{i} \theta_{i}$.

### 3.2. Mindlin plate theory

The plate theories can be based on the same assumptions as the beam theories (whether transverse shear deformation effects are neglected or not). If they are neglected, the theory is a classical plate theory or the Kirchhoff theory [27]. The plate is assumed to be of a uniform thickness $h$ with a mid-surface lying in the horizontal co-ordinate plane and a distributed loading $q$ assumed to act on the plate mid-surface in the direction perpendicular to it. The displacement field is assumed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, z)=-z \frac{d w_{0}}{d x}, \quad v(x, y, z)=-z \frac{d w_{0}}{d y} \quad \text { and } \quad w(x, z)=w_{0}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$\qquad$
where $(u, v, w)$ are the displacement components along the $(x, y, z)$ coordinate directions, respectively, and $w_{0}$ is the transverse deflection of a point on the midsurface (i.e. $z=0$ ). As with the beams, the displacement field (8) implies that straight lines normal to the $x y$-plane before deformation remain straight and normal to the mid-surface after deformation. This assumption (the Kirchhoff assumption) neglects both the transverse shear and in-plane effects and deformation is entirely caused by in-plane stretching due to bending.


Fig. 2: Initial and deformed configuration of a moderately thick - Mindlin plate

There is a number of shear deformation plate theories [31]. The simplest is the firstorder shear deformation plate theory also known as the Mindlin plate theory, which is closely related to the Timoshenko beam theory and may be regarded as its generalisation to two-dimensional problems. The displacement field is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, z)=z \theta_{y}(x, y), \quad v(x, y, z)=-z \theta_{x}(x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad w(x, z)=w_{0}(x), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ denote rotations about x and y axes, respectively (Fig. 2).

The changes of the angles which the vertical fibres close with the mid-surface are the shear angles (Fig. 2):

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z}  \tag{10}\\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\
-\theta_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{x} \\
\theta_{y}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\} w=\mathbf{e} \boldsymbol{\theta}+\nabla w\right.
$$

while the curvatures (the fibre's changes of rotations) are

$$
\mathbf{\kappa}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\kappa_{x}  \tag{11}\\
\kappa_{y} \\
\kappa_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & 0 \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{x} \\
\theta_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\theta}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the rotation vector with components $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ around the respective horizontal global co-ordinate axes, $w$ is the transverse displacement field, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is the shear strain vector and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is the curvature vector, $\nabla w$ is a gradient on the displacement field and $\mathbf{L}$ is a differential operator on the rotation field (see e.g. [3]). Let us consider a linear elastic material with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{x} \\
M_{y} \\
M_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-v}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{b}} \boldsymbol{\kappa}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\theta}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathbf{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{x} \\
S_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=k G h\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{e} \boldsymbol{\theta}+\nabla w), \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{x}, M_{y}$, and $M_{x y}$ are the bending and twisting moments (stress-couple resultants) around the respective co-ordinate axes, $S_{x}$ and $S_{y}$ are the shear-stress resultants, $E$ and $G$ are the Young and shear moduli, while $v$ and $k$ are Poisson's coefficient and the shear correction factor (usually set to $5 / 6$ ). The differential equations of equilibrium are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial M_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial y}=S_{x}, \quad \frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{y}}{\partial y}=S_{y}, \quad \frac{\partial S_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial S_{y}}{\partial y}=-q . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12) and (13) in (14), results in the differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y}\right)=-q, \\
& k G h\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\theta_{x}-\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)\right]=-q
\end{aligned}
$$
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$\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\partial^{3} \theta_{y}}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{\partial^{3} \theta_{x}}{\partial y^{3}}-v\left(\frac{\partial^{3} \theta_{x}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{3} \theta_{y}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}\right)\right]-k G h\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\theta_{x}-\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)\right]=0$
which now cannot be solved in terms of a finite number of parameters as before.

For example, if Kirchhoff assumptions (8) are assumed then:

$$
\theta_{y}=-\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{x}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
$$

and the following differential equation is derived:

$$
D\left(\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial y^{4}}\right)=q \quad \text { with } \quad D=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}
$$

Again, the Kirchhoff theory is just the limiting case of the Mindlin theory when $\Gamma$ is approaching to zero, so the obtained results in solving Mindlin plate equations should hold in both cases. As there is no exact solution in the general case for either of theories, we shell attempt to extend results (7) from Section 3.1 in order to derive more accurate Mindlin plate elements.

To do so, we shall need the functional of the total potential energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi\left(w, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\mathbf{M}^{T} \mathbf{\kappa}\right) d A+\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\mathbf{S}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right) d A+\Pi_{e x t}=\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\mathbf{\kappa}^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{\kappa}\right) d A+\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right) d A+\Pi_{e x t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

Sveučilište u Rijeci<br>Trg braće Mažuranića 10

where the last term describes the potential energy of the distributed and boundary loading.

## 4. Solution of the Timoshenko beam problem using linked interpolation

Here we will present how exact beam element interpolations generally expressed as (7) can be applied to beams with two, three and four nodes and how after that, they can be used to form some trial interpolations for plate elements of the same order.

### 4.1. Two-node beam element



Fig. 3. Interpolation functions for $w$ and $\theta$ for a two-node beam element

Two-node beam element $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ has 4 degrees of freedom (two displacements and two rotations) at the beam ends and the interpolations for transverse displacement and rotation follow from (7):

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\frac{1-\xi}{2} w_{1}+\frac{1+\xi}{2} w_{2}-\frac{1-\xi}{2} \frac{1+\xi}{2} \frac{L}{2}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} I_{i} w_{i}-\frac{L}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} I_{j}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \theta=\frac{1-\xi}{2} \theta_{1}+\frac{1+\xi}{2} \theta_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} I_{i} \theta_{i}, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

with the argument $\xi$ from the bi-unit parent domain from Fig. 3, $\xi=-1+\frac{2}{L}\left(x-x_{1}\right)$ with $L=x_{2}-x_{1}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ as the co-ordinates of the element end points.

In expressions above, we have, actually, an isoparametric mapping for the coordinate variable x

$$
x=\frac{1-\xi}{2} x_{1}+\frac{1-\xi}{2} x_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} I_{i} x_{i} .
$$

From equations (16) and (17), the bending moment and the shear force in the beam cross section can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E I \frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}{L} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and $\quad S=G A_{s}\left(\frac{w_{2}-w_{1}}{L}+\frac{\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$.

The bending moment is constant over the whole element in terms of parameters $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ and the corresponding shear force is also constant and equal to the shear force of the midpoint between nodes when just Lagrangean interpolation for $w$ and $\theta$ is used. According to (18), for a constant moment, the shear force (19) should be zero for equilibrium reasons and it would be valid only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}-w_{1}=-\frac{\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}}{2} L, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

meaning that the displacement change over the element is the integral of the rotational function over the element, which is a standard engineering result [19].

Since the displacement interpolation now also involves the rotational contributions, the displacement at the midpoint of the element is not just the mean value of the endnode displacements, but includes the additional hierarchical contribution $\Delta w$, shown in Fig. 3. Interpolations (16) and (17) are the exact solutions of the beam differential equations for a pure bending (equal and opposite moment loading at the boundaries).
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### 4.2. Three-node beam element

For $n=3$ the displacement field can be expressed using a third-order polynomial following the linked interpolation scheme with $3 \times 2$ parameters of freedom for the three-node beam element of Fig. 4:


Fig. 4: Interpolation functions for displacement $w$ and rotation $\theta$ for a three-node beam element $\left(\xi_{G}= \pm \sqrt{ } 3 / 3\right.$ are Gauss points)

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=-\xi\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) w_{1}+4\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) w_{2}+\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right) \xi w_{3}-\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right) \xi\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) \frac{L}{3}\left(-\theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{i} w_{i}-\frac{L}{3} \prod_{j=1}^{3} N_{j}\left(-\theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right),  \tag{21}\\
& \quad \theta=-\xi\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) \theta_{1}+4\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) \theta_{2}+\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right) \xi \theta_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{i} \theta_{i} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Using an isoparametric mapping for the coordinate variable x

$$
x=-\xi\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) x_{1}+4\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right) x_{2}+\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right) \xi x_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{i} x_{i},
$$

the bending moment function can be derived as a linear function

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E I\left(\frac{\theta_{3}-\theta_{1}}{L}-2 \frac{-\theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}}{L} \xi\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shear force is derived from (21) and (22) as a linear function too

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=G A_{s}\left[\frac{w_{3}-w_{1}}{L}+\frac{\Delta w}{2 L}+\theta_{2}+\left(2 \frac{w_{1}-2 w_{2}+w_{3}}{L}+\frac{\theta_{3}-\theta_{1}}{2}\right) \xi\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, by equilibrium condition the shear force should be constant and the zero value scalar multiplying $\xi$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}-\frac{w_{1}+w_{3}}{2}=-\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}\right) \frac{L}{8}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is actually the result obtained for the linked interpolation on a two-node beam
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(see Fig. 3).

Interpolations (21) and (22) are the exact solutions of the beam differential equations for a linear moment state characteristic of the concentrated force loading.

### 4.3. Four-node beam element

By analogy, for $n=4$ (four-node element shown in Fig. 5), the following expressions can be derived in a similar manner. The interpolation functions for displacements and rotations of the beam element are, with the linked part already incorporated in the formulation:

$$
\begin{align*}
w & =-\frac{9}{16}\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) w_{1}+\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) w_{2}-\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) w_{3} \\
+ & \frac{9}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right) w_{4}-\frac{9}{32}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) \frac{L}{4}\left(-\theta_{1}+3 \theta_{2}-3 \theta_{3}+\theta_{4}\right), \\
\theta & =-\frac{9}{16}\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) \theta_{1}+\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) \theta_{2}-\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) \theta_{3} \\
& +\frac{9}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right) \theta_{4} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements





Fig. 5: Interpolation functions for displacement $w$ and rotation $\theta$ for a four-node beam element $\left(\xi_{G}= \pm \sqrt{ } 3 / 5\right.$ and $\xi_{G}=0$ are Gauss points)
or in an abbreviated form

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} w_{i}+\prod_{j=1}^{4} N_{j} \frac{L}{4}\left(\theta_{1}-3 \theta_{2}+3 \theta_{3}-\theta_{4}\right),  \tag{27}\\
& \theta=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} \theta_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

The isoparametric mapping between the coordinate variable x and the natural
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coordinate $\xi$ now reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=-\frac{9}{16}\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) x_{1}+\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) x_{2}-\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) x_{3}, \\
& +\frac{9}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right) x_{4}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} x_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bending moment can be derived from the rotation field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E I \cdot\left(\frac{1}{8} \frac{\theta_{1}-27 \theta_{2}+27 \theta_{3}-\theta_{4}}{L}+\frac{9}{4} \frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}+\theta_{4}}{L} \xi+\frac{27}{8} \frac{-\theta_{1}+3 \theta_{2}-3 \theta_{3}+\theta_{4}}{L} \xi^{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the shear force can be derived from the displacement field derivative and the rotation field:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=G A_{s}\left(\frac{1}{8} \frac{w_{1}-27 w_{2}+27 w_{3}-w_{4}}{L}-\frac{1}{16}\left(\theta_{1}-9 \theta_{2}-9 \theta_{3}+\theta_{4}\right) \xi\right)+ \\
& +G A_{s}\left(\frac{9}{4} \frac{w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}+w_{4}}{L}-\frac{\theta_{1}+3 \theta_{2}-3 \theta_{3}-\theta_{4}}{4}\right) \xi+ \\
& +G A_{s}\left(\frac{27}{8} \frac{-w_{1}+3 w_{2}-3 w_{3}+w_{4}}{L}-\frac{9}{16}\left(-\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}-\theta_{4}\right)\right) \xi^{2} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for the shear force would contain a cubic term, but for the linked expression in (27), which eliminates the scalar multiplying $\xi^{3}$ in a manner similar to those for the two-node and three-node elements.

For a quadratic expression of the bending moment in (28), the shear force must be
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linear over the element for the equilibrium reasons. The factor multiplied by $\xi^{2}$ in (29) therefore vanishes if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{3}-w_{2}\right)+\frac{w_{1}-w_{4}}{3}=\frac{L}{18}\left(\left(\theta_{4}-\theta_{3}\right)-\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which would alternatively enable expressing an internal displacement in terms of the remaining displacements and rotations.

Again, the interpolation functions (27) are the exact solutions of the differential equations of Timoshenko's beam, if the beam element is subjected to a uniformly distributed load.

## 5. Linked-interpolation elements for Mindlin plates

### 5.1. Triangular plate elements

For triangle elements like the one from Fig. 6, the displacements and rotations are expressed in the so-called area coordinates which, for any interior point, make the ratio of the respective interior area to the area of the whole triangle 1-2-3.


Fig. 6: Three-node triangular plate element and its area coordinates of an interior
point

Because in two dimensions any point is uniquely defined by only two coordinates, the three coordinates $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ are not independent of each other and for any point within the domain they are related by the expression:
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$$
\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}=1 .
$$

The area coordinates of any point within the domain are transformed into the Cartesian coordinates as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\xi_{1} x_{1}+\xi_{2} x_{2}+\xi_{3} x_{3} \\
& y=\xi_{1} y_{1}+\xi_{2} y_{2}+\xi_{3} y_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and vice versa

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1}=\frac{\left(x-x_{3}\right) b_{1}+\left(y-y_{3}\right) a_{1}}{a_{2} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{2}}=\frac{2 A_{1}}{2 A}=\frac{A_{1}}{A} \\
& \xi_{2}=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right) b_{2}+\left(y-y_{1}\right) a_{2}}{a_{3} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{3}}=\frac{A_{2}}{A} \\
& \xi_{3}=\frac{\left(x-x_{2}\right) b_{3}+\left(y-y_{2}\right) a_{3}}{a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1}}=\frac{A_{3}}{A},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}=x_{k}-x_{j}$ and $b_{i}=y_{j}-y_{k}$ are the directed side-length projections along the coordinate axes and the indices $i, j$, and $k$ denoting the triangle vertices are cyclic permutations of 1,2 and 3. The area $A_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(x-x_{k}\right) b_{i}-\left(y_{k}-y\right) a_{i}\right]$ denotes the area of the interior triangle whose one vertex is at the point ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) while the other two are the vertices j and k , while $A=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{j} b_{i}-a_{i} b_{j}\right)$ is the area of the whole triangle (Fig. 6).
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Note that the area co-ordinates $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$ are in fact the standard linear Lagrangean shape functions over a triangular domain.

### 5.1.1. Three-node triangular plate element

The linked interpolation as defined in (7) may be applied to2D situation in its original form written for arbitrary number of nodal points, but such a form would not be very illustrative. Instead we shall first apply the result given in (7) to a triangular element with three nodal points at the element vertices as in [8,12,15,24,28,30] (see Fig. 6).


Fig. 7: Triangular element's side and its rotation degrees of freedom

If any triangle side $k$ of length $s_{k}$ (where $s_{k}^{2}=a_{k}^{2}+b_{k}^{2}$ ) is taken as a beam element (Fig. 7), the expressions for the displacement $w$ and the rotation around the in-plane normal $\theta_{n}$ can be derived in linked form like in (16) and (17) but with area coordinates this time:

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\xi_{i} w_{i}+\xi_{j} w_{j}-\xi_{i} \xi_{j} \frac{s_{k}}{2}\left(\theta_{n i}-\theta_{n j}\right)=\xi_{i} w_{i}+\xi_{j} w_{j}-\xi_{i} \xi_{j} \frac{s_{k}}{2}\left[\left(\theta_{y i}-\theta_{y j}\right) \cos \alpha_{k}-\left(\theta_{x i}-\theta_{x j}\right) \sin \alpha_{k}\right] \\
& =\xi_{i} w_{i}+\xi_{j} w_{j}-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\left[\left(\theta_{x i}-\theta_{x j}\right) b_{k}+\left(\theta_{y i}-\theta_{y j}\right) a_{k}\right], \\
& \quad \theta_{n}=\xi_{i} \theta_{n i}+\xi_{j} \theta_{n j},
\end{aligned}
$$

while $\xi_{k}=0$. Such interpolation provides constant moments and constant shear along the element side.

At each nodal point $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ of an element there exist three degrees of freedom (displacements $w_{i}$, and rotations $\theta_{x i}$, and $\theta_{y i}$ in the global coordinate directions). The linked interpolation for the displacement and rotation field over the whole triangular domain may be now proposed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
w=\xi_{1} w_{1}+\xi_{2} w_{2}+\xi_{3} w_{3} & -\frac{1}{2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left[\left(\theta_{x 1}-\theta_{x 2}\right) b_{3}+\left(\theta_{y 1}-\theta_{y 2}\right) a_{3}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left[\left(\theta_{x 2}-\theta_{x 3}\right) b_{1}+\left(\theta_{y 2}-\theta_{y 3}\right) a_{1}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left[\left(\theta_{x 3}-\theta_{x 1}\right) b_{2}+\left(\theta_{y 3}-\theta_{y 1}\right) a_{2}\right]  \tag{31}\\
\theta_{x} & =\xi_{1} \theta_{x 1}+\xi_{2} \theta_{x 2}+\xi_{3} \theta_{x 3}  \tag{32a}\\
\theta_{y} & =\xi_{1} \theta_{y 1}+\xi_{2} \theta_{y 2}+\xi_{3} \theta_{y 3} . \tag{32b}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\theta_{x i}, \theta_{y i}$ are the rotation components at the element vertices (see Fig. 8). Terms in brackets are rotational projections of respective rotation components to the normal on each element side times the side length. Therefore the interpolation is isoparametric for the rotations, while for the displacement function it includes an additional linking
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part schematically presented in Fig. 9.


Fig. 8: Three-node triangular plate element and its nodal rotations. The nodal displacements are perpendicular to the element plane


$$
\Delta w=-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left[\left(\theta_{x 1}-\theta_{x 2}\right) b_{3}+\left(\theta_{y 1}-\theta_{y 2}\right) a_{3}\right]
$$

Fig. 9: Linking part of the shape function on side " $1-2$ " of the element
$\qquad$
The linked interpolation as employed in a two-node Timoshenko beam element can exactly reproduce the quadratic displacement function, and the same should be expected for the 2D interpolation considered here. The finite element developed on this basis will be named T3-U2, denoting the three-node element with the secondorder displacement distribution.

### 5.1.2. Six-node triangular plate element

A six-node linked-interpolation triangular element (Fig. 10) may be defined correspondingly.


Fig. 10: Six-node triangular plate element and its geometry.
$\qquad$
Again, if any triangle side $k$ is taken as a beam element, the expressions for the displacement $w$ and the rotation around the in-plane normal $\theta_{n}$ can be derived in the linked form (7), with $i+3$ node located at the middle of the side as in Fig. 11:


Fig. 11: Triangular element's side and its rotation degrees of freedom

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\xi_{i}\left(2 \xi_{i}-1\right) w_{i}+\xi_{j}\left(2 \xi_{j}-1\right) w_{j}+4 \xi_{i} \xi_{j} w_{i+3}-\xi_{i} \xi_{j}\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{i}\right) \frac{S_{k}}{3}\left(-\theta_{n, i}+2 \theta_{n, i+3}-\theta_{n, j}\right)= \\
& =\xi_{i}\left(2 \xi_{i}-1\right) w_{i}+\xi_{j}\left(2 \xi_{j}-1\right) w_{j}+4 \xi_{i} \xi_{j} w_{i+3}-\xi_{i} \xi_{j}\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{i}\right) \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(-\theta_{x i}+2 \theta_{x, i+3}-\theta_{x j}\right) b_{k}+\left(-\theta_{y i}+2 \theta_{y, i+3}-\theta_{y j}\right) a_{k}\right] \\
& \quad \theta_{n}=\xi_{i}\left(2 \xi_{i}-1\right) \theta_{n, i}+\xi_{j}\left(2 \xi_{j}-1\right) \theta_{n, j}+4 \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \theta_{n, i+3},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\xi_{k}=0$.

Such interpolation may describe a linear moment and shear change along the element side.

The linked interpolation for the displacement field over the whole triangle domain may be now given as
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$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\xi_{1}\left(2 \xi_{1}-1\right) w_{1}+\xi_{2}\left(2 \xi_{2}-1\right) w_{2}+\xi_{3}\left(2 \xi_{3}-1\right) w_{3}+4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} w_{4}+4 \xi_{2} \xi_{3} w_{5}+4 \xi_{3} \xi_{1} w_{6}+ \\
&-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right) \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(-\theta_{x 1}+2 \theta_{x 4}-\theta_{x 2}\right) b_{3}+\left(-\theta_{y 1}+2 \theta_{y 4}-\theta_{y 2}\right) a_{3}\right] \\
&-\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(\xi_{3}-\xi_{2}\right) \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(-\theta_{x 2}+2 \theta_{x 5}-\theta_{x 3}\right) b_{1}+\left(-\theta_{y 2}+2 \theta_{y 5}-\theta_{y 3}\right) a_{1}\right] \\
&-\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{3}\right) \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(-\theta_{x 3}+2 \theta_{x 6}-\theta_{x 1}\right) b_{2}+\left(-\theta_{y 3}+2 \theta_{y 6}-\theta_{y 1}\right) a_{2}\right] \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

while the interpolation for the rotations takes the standard Lagrangean form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{x}=\xi_{1}\left(2 \xi_{1}-1\right) \theta_{x 1}+\xi_{2}\left(2 \xi_{2}-1\right) \theta_{x 2}+\xi_{3}\left(2 \xi_{3}-1\right) \theta_{x 3}+4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \theta_{x 4}+4 \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \theta_{x 5}+4 \xi_{3} \xi_{1} \theta_{x 6}  \tag{34a}\\
& \theta_{y}=\xi_{1}\left(2 \xi_{1}-1\right) \theta_{y 1}+\xi_{2}\left(2 \xi_{2}-1\right) \theta_{y 2}+\xi_{3}\left(2 \xi_{3}-1\right) \theta_{y 3}+4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \theta_{y 4}+4 \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \theta_{y 5}+4 \xi_{3} \xi_{1} \theta_{y 6}, \tag{34b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{x i}, \theta_{y i}$ are the nodal rotation components at the element vertices and midpoints. As before, the displacement and rotation fields are interpolated using the same interpolation functions, but the displacement field has an additional linking part expressed in terms of the rotational components on each element side.

The rotations in (34) have a full quadratic polynomial form, but the displacement field does not have a full cubic polynomial form since expression (33) misses the $10^{\text {th }}$ item in Pascal's triangle with the function that has zero values along all the element sides which cannot be associated with any nodal degree of freedom. To provide the full cubic expansion we need to expand the result from (33) with an independent bubble degree of freedom $w_{b}$ i.e.
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$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{\text {eqn }(33)}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} w_{b} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The finite element developed on this basis will be named T6-U3, denoting the sixnode element with the third-order displacement distribution. The same interpolation for the displacements has been applied to the mixed-type six-node triangular plate element in [6].

### 5.1.3. Ten-node triangular plate element

A ten-node linked-interpolation triangular element (Fig. 12) follows analogously from the linked interpolation for the four-node Timoshenko beam element.


Fig. 12: Ten-node triangular plate element and its geometry.

Any triangle side can be taken as a beam element and expressions for $w$ and $\theta_{n}$ can be
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expressed in the linked form (7). Completed over the whole triangle, the interpolations for the displacement and the rotations follow as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{1}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} w_{1}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} w_{4}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} w_{5}+ \\
& +\xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{2}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} w_{2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} w_{6}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} w_{7}+ \\
& +\xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{3}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} w_{3}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} w_{8}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} w_{9}+27 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} w_{10}- \\
& -\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right)\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{8}\left[\left(-\theta_{x 1}+3 \theta_{x 4}-3 \theta_{x 5}+\theta_{x 2}\right) b_{3}+\left(-\theta_{y 1}+3 \theta_{y 4}-3 \theta_{y 5}+\theta_{y 2}\right) a_{3}\right] \\
& -\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right)\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{1}{8}\left[\left(-\theta_{x 2}+3 \theta_{x 6}-3 \theta_{x 7}+\theta_{x 3}\right) b_{1}+\left(-\theta_{y 2}+3 \theta_{y 6}-3 \theta_{y 7}+\theta_{y 3}\right) a_{1}\right] \\
& -\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right)\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{1}{8}\left[\left(-\theta_{x 3}+3 \theta_{x 8}-3 \theta_{x 9}+\theta_{x 1}\right) b_{2}+\left(-\theta_{y 3}+3 \theta_{y 8}-3 \theta_{y 9}+\theta_{y 1}\right) a_{2}\right]  \tag{36}\\
& \theta_{x}=\xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{1}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} \theta_{x 1}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{x 4}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{x 5}+ \\
& +\xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{2}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} \theta_{x 2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{x 6}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{x 7}+ \\
& +\xi_{3}\left(3 \xi_{3}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} \theta_{x 3}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{x 8}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{x 9}+27 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \theta_{x 10}  \tag{37a}\\
& +\theta_{y} \\
& +\xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{1}-2\right)\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} \theta_{y 1}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{y 4}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{y 5}+ \\
& \left.+\xi_{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{2} \theta_{y 3}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{3}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{y 8}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(3 \xi_{1}-1\right) \frac{9}{2} \theta_{y 9}+27 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \theta_{y 10},( \tag{37b}
\end{align*}
$$
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where $\theta_{x i}, \theta_{y i}$ are the nodal rotation components at the element vertices and the midside points.

The rotations are expressed as a full cubic polynomial, but the displacement field does not have a full quartic polynomial forms. To extend expression (36) to a full quartic form (with all 15 items in Pascal's triangle), two more parameters are needed and they are related with the functions that have zero values along any element side and at the central point (node with index 10). Those parameters are some internal bubbles so finally the displacement field may be completed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{e q n(36)}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right) w_{b 1}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{3}\right) w_{b 2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third term that appears to be missing in expression (38) to complete the cyclic triangle symmetry, namely

$$
\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(\xi_{3}-\xi_{1}\right) w_{b 3},
$$

is actually linearly dependent on the two other added terms and the $10^{\text {th }}$ term in (36). The finite element developed on the basis of this interpolation will be named T10-U4, denoting the ten-node element with the fourth-order displacement distribution.

A family of triangular elements based on the linked interpolation has been derived in [8] stemming from the requirement of the shear strain in the element being of a prescribed order. The above methodology, in contrast, generates the linked interpolation from the underlying interpolation functions developed for the beam
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elements and may be consistently applied to triangular or quadrilateral plate elements of arbitrary order.

### 5.2. Quadrilateral plate elements

### 5.2.1. Four-node quadrilateral plate element

Now we turn our attention to a quadrilateral element with four nodal points at the element vertices as in [1-3,7,9,11] (see Fig. 13). At each nodal point $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4)$ there exist three degrees of freedom (displacement $w_{i}$, and rotations $\theta_{x i}$, and $\theta_{y i}$ ). In analogy to (7), the linked interpolation for the displacement field may be now given as

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=I_{1} w_{1}+I_{2} w_{2}+I_{3} w_{3}+I_{4} w_{4}-\frac{a_{1}}{2} \frac{1-\xi^{2}}{4} \frac{1-\eta}{2}\left(\theta_{n a l}^{1}-\theta_{n a l}^{2}\right)-\frac{a_{2}}{2} \frac{1-\xi^{2}}{4} \frac{1+\eta}{2}\left(\theta_{n a 2}^{4}-\theta_{n a 2}^{3}\right) \\
+  \tag{39}\\
+\frac{b_{1}}{2} \frac{1-\xi}{2} \frac{1-\eta^{2}}{4}\left(\theta_{n b 1}^{1}-\theta_{n b 1}^{4}\right)+\frac{b_{2}}{2} \frac{1+\xi}{2} \frac{1-\eta^{2}}{4}\left(\theta_{n b 2}^{2}-\theta_{n b 2}^{3}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are the standard natural co-ordinates, $a_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $b_{i}(i=1,2)$ are the lengths of the element sides as shown in Fig. 13, the Lagrangean shape functions are given as $I_{1}=\frac{1-\xi}{2} \frac{1-\eta}{2}, I_{2}=\frac{1+\xi}{2} \frac{1-\eta}{2}, I_{3}=\frac{1+\xi}{2} \frac{1+\eta}{2}, I_{4}=\frac{1-\xi}{2} \frac{1+\eta}{2}$ and $\theta_{n a i,}^{k} \theta_{n b i}^{k}$ are the rotation components perpendicular to the element side $a_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $b_{i}$ at node $k$ (see Fig. 14).
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Fig. 13: Four-node quadrilateral plate element and its geometry. The nodal displacements are perpendicular to the element plane and rotations are oriented in global coordinate directions. The parent domain of the element is a bi-unit square with coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$.

The interpolation functions for the rotations are given in a usual manner using the standard Lagrangean polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} \theta_{x i}, \quad \theta_{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} \theta_{y i} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the interpolation functions are expressed in natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$, which are related to the Cartesian co-ordinates $x$ and $y$ via $x=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} x_{i}, y=\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} y_{i}$. The normal rotations about an element edge are shown in Fig. 15 and expressed by the global node rotations as $\theta_{n a 1}^{1}=-\theta_{x 1} \frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{a_{1}}+\theta_{y 1} \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{a_{1}}$ and
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$$
\theta_{n a 1}^{1}-\theta_{n a 1}^{2}=-\left(\theta_{x 1}-\theta_{x 2}\right) \frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{a_{1}}+\left(\theta_{y 1}-\theta_{y 2}\right) \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{a_{1}} .
$$



Fig. 14: Linking shape function for normal rotations on the side "1-2" of the element


Fig. 15: Components of the nodal rotations to the normal on the side between nodes 1 and 2

The linked interpolation as employed in a two-node Timoshenko beam element can
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exactly reproduce the quadratic displacement function, and the same should be expected for the 2D interpolation considered here. However, this must be true for any direction, which interpolation (39) cannot satisfy, unless enriched by a bi-quadratic bubble term $\frac{1-\xi^{2}}{4} \frac{1-\eta^{2}}{4} w_{b}$ eventually giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{e q \eta(39)}+\frac{1-\xi^{2}}{4} \frac{1-\eta^{2}}{4} w_{b} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{\text {eqn(39) }}$ is the displacement interpolation given in equation (39). The displacement and rotational interpolations (41) and (39) can reproduce a constant bending moment and zero shear distribution throughout the arbitrary quadrilateral element.

### 5.2.2. Nine-node quadrilateral plate element

For a nine-node quadrilateral element the linked interpolation for the displacement field may be defined correspondingly (written in positional order as shown in Fig. 16), i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=I_{1 \xi} I_{3 \eta} w_{3,1}+I_{2 \xi} I_{3 \eta} w_{3,2}+I_{3 \xi} I_{3 \eta} w_{3,3} \\
& +I_{1 \xi} I_{2 \eta} w_{2,1}+I_{2 \xi} I_{2 \eta} w_{2,2}+I_{3 \xi} I_{2 \eta} w_{2,3} \\
& +I_{1 \xi} I_{1 \eta} w_{1,1}+I_{2 \xi} I_{1 \eta} w_{1,2}+I_{3 \xi} I_{1 \eta} w_{1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{a_{3}}{3} \frac{\xi-\xi^{3}}{4} \frac{1+\eta}{2} \eta\left(\theta_{n a 3}^{3,1}-2 \theta_{n a 3}^{3,2}+\theta_{n a 3}^{3,3}\right)+\frac{a_{2}}{3} \frac{\xi-\xi^{3}}{4} 4 \frac{1+\eta}{2} \frac{1-\eta}{2}\left(\theta_{n a 2}^{2,1}-2 \theta_{n a 2}^{2,2}+\theta_{n a 2}^{2,3}\right) \\
& -\frac{a_{1}}{3} \frac{\xi-\xi^{3}}{4} \eta \frac{1-\eta}{2}\left(\theta_{n a 1}^{1,1}-2 \theta_{n a 1}^{1,2}+\theta_{n a 1}^{1,3}\right) \\
& +\frac{b_{1}}{3} \xi \frac{1-\xi}{2} \frac{\eta-\eta^{3}}{4}\left(\theta_{n b 1}^{1,1}-2 \theta_{n b 1}^{2,1}+\theta_{n b 1}^{3,1}\right)-\frac{b_{2}}{3} 4 \frac{1+\xi}{2} \frac{1-\xi}{2} \frac{\eta-\eta^{3}}{4}\left(\theta_{n b 2}^{1,2}-2 \theta_{n b 2}^{2,2}+\theta_{n b 2}^{3,2}\right) \\
& -\frac{b_{3}}{3} \frac{1+\xi}{2} \xi \frac{\eta-\eta^{3}}{4}\left(\theta_{n b 3}^{1,3}-2 \theta_{n b 3}^{2,3}+\theta_{n b 3}^{3,3}\right) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{1 \xi}=-\xi \frac{1-\xi}{2}, I_{2 \xi}=1-\xi^{2}, I_{3 \xi}=\xi \frac{1+\xi}{2}, I_{1 \eta}, I_{2 \eta}, I_{3 \eta}$ are the corresponding interpolation functions with natural co-ordinate $\eta, a_{k}$ and $b_{k}(k=1,3)$ are the node line lengths in $\xi$ and $\eta$ directions as shown in Fig. 16. Again, $\theta^{i, j}{ }_{n a k}$ and $\theta^{i, j}{ }_{n b k}$ are the components of the nodal rotations to the normal of the respective element line at node $(i, j)$. This time a node has two indices denoting its position (row and column number counted from the lower left corner node).

The interpolation for the rotation fields, in positional order, reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} \theta_{x i, j}  \tag{43a}\\
& \theta_{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} \theta_{y_{i, j}}, \tag{43b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{x i j}$ and $\theta_{y i j}$ denote rotations in the global directions at the node denoted as $(i, j)$. The isoparametric mapping from the natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ to the global variables $x$ and $y$ follows the standard rule: $x=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} x_{i, j}, y=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} y_{i, j}$. In these expressions, the coordinates for nodes $(1,2),(2,3),(3,2)$ and $(2,1)$ are in the middle between the adjacent nodes and node $(2,2)$ is at the element centroid.
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As before, in order to reproduce exactly the polynomial of the third order in arbitrary direction, an additional bi-cubic term involving an internal bubble displacement $w_{b}$ has to be added to displacement interpolation (42). Eventually, therefore, the displacement interpolation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{\operatorname{eqn}(42)}+\frac{\xi-\xi^{3}}{4} \frac{\eta-\eta^{3}}{4} w_{b} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacement and rotational interpolations (44) and (43) can reproduce the states of linear bending throughout the arbitrary quadrilateral element.


Fig. 16: Nine-node quadrilateral plate element and its geometry.

A serendipity-type formulation of a similar sort written in a framework of the hierarchical interpolation has been presented in [2].

### 5.2.3. Sixteen-node quadrilateral plate element

A sixteen-node (4x4) linked-interpolation quadrilateral element (Fig. 17) may be defined correspondingly.


Fig. 17: Sixteen-node quadrilateral plate element and its geometry.

The displacement field is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} w_{i, j} \\
& +I_{4 \eta} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \xi} \frac{a_{4}}{4}\left(\theta_{n a 4}^{4,1}-3 \theta_{n a 4}^{4,2}+3 \theta_{n a 4}^{4,3}-\theta_{n a 4}^{4,4}\right)+I_{3 \eta} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \xi} \frac{a_{3}}{4}\left(\theta_{n a 3}^{3,1}-3 \theta_{n a 3}^{3,2}+3 \theta_{n a 3}^{3,3}-\theta_{n a 3}^{3,4}\right) \\
& +I_{2 \eta} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \xi} \frac{a_{2}}{4}\left(\theta_{n a 2}^{2,1}-3 \theta_{n a 2}^{2,2}+3 \theta_{n a 2}^{2,3}-\theta_{n a 2}^{2,4}\right)+I_{1 \eta} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \xi} \frac{a_{1}}{4}\left(\theta_{n a 1}^{1,1}-3 \theta_{n a 1}^{1,2}+3 \theta_{n a 1}^{1,3}-\theta_{n a 1}^{1,4}\right) \\
& +I_{1 \xi} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \eta} \frac{b_{1}}{3}\left(\theta_{n b 1}^{1,1}-3 \theta_{n b 1}^{2,1}+3 \theta_{n b 1}^{3,1}-\theta_{n b 1}^{4,1}\right)+I_{2 \xi} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \eta} \frac{b_{2}}{3}\left(\theta_{n b 2}^{1,2}-3 \theta_{n b 2}^{2,2}+3 \theta_{n b 2}^{3,2}-\theta_{n b 2}^{4,2}\right) \\
& +I_{3 \xi} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \eta} \frac{b_{3}}{3}\left(\theta_{n b 3}^{1,3}-3 \theta_{n b 3}^{2,3}+3 \theta_{n b 3}^{3,3}-\theta_{n b 3}^{4,3}\right)+I_{4 \xi} \prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \eta} \frac{b_{4}}{3}\left(\theta_{n b 4}^{1,4}-3 \theta_{n b 4}^{2,4}+3 \theta_{n b 4}^{3,4}-\theta_{n b 4}^{4,4}\right), \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{1 \xi}=-\frac{9}{16}\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) & I_{1 \eta}=-\frac{9}{16}\left(\eta+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\eta-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\eta-1) \\
I_{2 \xi}=+\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) & I_{2 \eta}=+\frac{27}{16}(\eta+1)\left(\eta-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\eta-1) \\
I_{3 \xi}=-\frac{27}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) & I_{3 \eta}=-\frac{27}{16}(\eta+1)\left(\eta+\frac{1}{3}\right)(\eta-1) \\
I_{4 \xi}=+\frac{9}{16}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right) & I_{4 \eta}=+\frac{9}{16}(\eta+1)\left(\eta+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\eta-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
\prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \xi}=-\frac{9}{32}(\xi+1)\left(\xi+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\xi-1) & \\
\prod_{n=1}^{4} N_{n \eta}=-\frac{9}{32}(\eta+1)\left(\eta+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\eta-\frac{1}{3}\right)(\eta-1) &
\end{array}
$$

and where $\theta^{i, j}{ }_{n a k}$ and $\theta^{i, j}{ }_{n b k}(k=1,4)$ denote the rotation projections normal to the node line lengths $a_{k}$ or $b_{k}$ at node $(i, j)$.

The interpolations for the rotation fields, in positional order, read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} \theta_{x i, j} \\
& \theta_{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} \theta_{y_{i, j}}, \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{x i, j}$ and $\theta_{y}{ }_{i, j}$ denote the rotations in the global directions at node $(i, j)$ and the isoparametric mapping from natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ to global variables $x$ and $y$ follows as $x=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} x_{i, j}, \quad y=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{i \eta} I_{j \xi} y_{i, j}$.
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In these expressions, the coordinates for the nodes along any element side are equally spaced (a third of lengths $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ ) and the internal nodes follow the same rule along their lines in both directions.

Finally, the bubble term completes the displacement interpolation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{eqn}(45)}+\frac{9}{32}\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)\left(\xi^{2}-\frac{1}{9}\right) \frac{9}{32}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\frac{1}{9}\right) \cdot \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}=w^{*}+\prod_{n=1}^{3} N_{n}(\xi) \prod_{n=1}^{3} N_{n}(\eta) \cdot \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.3. Finite element stiffness matrix and load vector

The earlier interpolations may be written in matrix form as

$$
w=\mathbf{I}_{w w} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{N}_{w \theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}+\mathbf{N}_{w b} \mathbf{w}_{b},
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{x}  \tag{49}\\
\theta_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\mathbf{I}_{\theta \theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y},
$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{w w}$ is a matrix of all interpolation functions concerning the nodal displacement parameters with the dimension $1 \times N_{n d}$, where $N_{n d}=n(n+1) / 2$ for triangle elements, or $N_{n d}=n^{2}$ for quadrilateral elements, and $n$ is the number of nodes per element side. Also, $\mathbf{w}$ is the vector of nodal displacement parameters with the dimension $N_{n d}: \mathbf{w}^{T}=\left\langle w_{1} \cdots w_{n}\right\rangle$ for triangles, or $\mathbf{w}^{T}=\left\langle w_{1,1} \cdots w_{n, n}\right\rangle$ for quadrilaterals, $\mathbf{N}_{w \theta}$ is the matrix of all linked interpolation functions with the dimension $1 \times 2 N_{n d}$ and
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$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}$ is the vector of nodal rotations in global coordinate directions with the dimension $2 N_{n d}: \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}^{T}=\left\langle\theta_{x 1}, \theta_{y 1} \cdots \theta_{x n}, \theta_{y n}\right\rangle \quad$ for triangles, or $\quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}^{T}=\left\langle\theta_{x 1,1}, \theta_{y 1,1} \cdots \theta_{x n, n}, \theta_{y n, n}\right\rangle$ for quadrilaterals. Further, $\mathbf{N}_{w b}$ is the matrix of bubble interpolation functions for triangles given in (35) or (38) with the dimension $1 \mathrm{x} N_{b}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{b}$ is the bubble parameter vector with the dimension $N_{b}=n-2: \mathbf{w}_{b}^{T}=\left\langle w_{b, 1} \cdots w_{b, n-2}\right\rangle$, only for $n \geq 2$. For quadrilaterals $N_{w b}$ is a bubble interpolation function in (41), (44) or (47) and $w_{b}$ is the bubble parameter (single). $\mathbf{I}_{\theta \theta}$ is again the matrix of all interpolation functions concerning rotational parameters described in (32), (34) or (37) for triangles and in (40), (43) or (46) for quadrilaterals, all with the dimension $2 \times 2 N_{n d}$.

The formation of the element stiffness matrix and the external load vector for the interpolation functions defined in this way follows the standard finite-element procedure described in text-books e.g. [26,32,33]. A functional of the total energy of the system is given in (15) and from the stationarity condition for the total potential energy of an element, a system of algebraic equations is derived:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w w}} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w \theta}}^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w b}}^{T}  \tag{50}\\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w \theta}} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B}_{\theta \theta}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} \theta \theta} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{b b \theta}}^{T} \\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w b}} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{b} b} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{b b b}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w} \\
\boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y} \\
\mathbf{w}_{b}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{f}_{w} \\
\mathbf{f}_{\theta} \\
\mathbf{f}_{b}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where vectors $\mathbf{f}_{w}, \mathbf{f}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{b}$ are the terms due to external loading. The submatrices in the stiffness matrix follow

$$
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} \theta \theta}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{L I}_{\theta \theta}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{b}}\left(\mathbf{L I}_{\theta \theta}\right) d A
$$
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and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w w}}=\int_{A}\left(\nabla \mathbf{I}_{w w}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\nabla \mathbf{I}_{w w}\right) d A \\
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} b b}=\int_{A}\left(\nabla \mathbf{N}_{w b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\nabla \mathbf{N}_{w b}\right) d A \\
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} \theta \theta}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{e I}_{\theta \theta}+\nabla \mathbf{N}_{w \theta}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{I}_{\theta \theta}+\nabla \mathbf{N}_{w \theta}\right) d A \\
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w \theta}}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{I}_{\theta \theta}+\nabla \mathbf{N}_{w \theta}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\nabla \mathbf{I}_{w w}\right) d A \\
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w b}}=\int_{A}\left(\nabla N_{w b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\nabla \mathbf{I}_{w w}\right) d A \\
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} b \theta}=\int_{A}\left(\nabla N_{w b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{I}_{\theta \theta}+\nabla \mathbf{N}_{w \theta}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{L}$ and $\nabla$ are the differential operators from (11) and (10) acting on the interpolation functions in (49) and (48) respectively, while $\mathbf{e}$ is a transformation matrix given in (10).

After static condensation of the bubble terms in the stiffness matrix of (50), the final element matrix is formed for the element out of plane nodal displacements and rotations ( $w_{i}, \theta_{x, i}, \theta_{y, i}$ ) for all nodes " $i$ " included in the element ( $N_{n d}$ ):

### 5.4. Comparison with some similar elements from literature

Here we look more closely into two families of elements, in which linked interpolation has been also employed for element modeling.

### 5.4.1. Liu - Riggs family of pure displacement-based triangular elements

If arbitrary direction ' $s$ ' crossing the triangle element is chosen (Fig. 17), the shear strain can be expressed in terms of the shear strains along the directions of the coordinate axes $x$ and $y$ as


Fig. 17: Difference in the shear strain condition between the present formulation and the Liu-Riggs formulation [8].

Gradevinski fakultet Rijeka
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{s}=\gamma_{x} \cos \alpha+\gamma_{y} \sin \alpha, \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the angle between the $s$-direction and the $x$-axis.

In the linked interpolation formulation presented in this work, the expression for the shear along an element side is a polynomial which is two orders lower than the displacement interpolation polynomial. This is also valid for any direction parallel to an element side.

Liu and Riggs [8] have derived the family of triangle elements likewise based purely on displacement interpolations, which eventually, also, turns out to be of the linked type in the sense that the displacement distribution also depends on the nodal rotations. In contrast to the approach presented here, however, the requirement that the authors set is that the shear strain along arbitrary direction $s$, and not only those parallel to the element sides, should satisfy the above condition (Fig. 17), thus imposing the $p$-th derivative of (52) to be zero for arbitrary $\alpha$, while the displacement interpolation is of the order $p+2$ and the interpolation for the rotations is of the order $p+1$.

### 5.4.1.1.Tessler - Hughes three-node triangular plate element - MIN3

Liu and Riggs [8] and Tessler and Hughes [24] before them, have derived interpolation functions for the triangular element named MIN3 with three nodes and nine degrees of freedom (the same degrees as the $T 3-U 2$ element presented in 6.1.1) from the condition that the shear strain must be constant along any direction within

[^2]the element (Tessler and Hughes have additionally introduced a shear-relaxation factor in order to improve the MIN3 element performance). Their interpolations for the displacement and the rotation fields are:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=N_{i} w_{i}+L_{i} \theta_{x i}+M_{i} \theta_{y i}, \quad \theta_{x}=N_{i} \theta_{x i} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{y}=N_{i} \theta_{y i} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the interpolation functions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\xi_{i}, \quad L_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{k} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}-b_{j} \xi_{k} \xi_{i}\right) \text { and } M_{i}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{j} \xi_{k} \xi_{i}-a_{k} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $i, j$ and $k$ again being the cyclic permutations of 1,2 and 3 . It can be verified that the rigid body conditions are satisfied because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} N_{i}=1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} L_{i}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} M_{i}=0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be also verified by direct calculation that the Liu-Riggs interpolation is the same as the linked interpolation given in 6.1.1. Therefore, for the triangular element with three nodes, in the present formulation the shear strain is also constant in any direction and not only along the directions parallel to the sides of the triangle.
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### 5.4.1.2.Liu - Riggs six-node triangular plate element - MIN6

Liu and Riggs in [8] have next derived a family of elements based on upgrading the criteria for the shear strain along an arbitrary direction over the element. The second member of the family is the so-called MIN6 element with six nodal points. The interpolations are derived to provide linear shear in any direction crossing the element. Interpolations in MIN6 for the displacement and rotations are again:

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=N_{i} w_{i}+L_{i} \theta_{x i}+M_{i} \theta_{y i}, \quad \theta_{x}=N_{i} \cdot \theta_{x i} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{y}=N_{i} \cdot \theta_{y i} \\
\text { for } i=1,2, \ldots 6 \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the actual interpolation functions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\xi_{i}\left(2 \xi_{i}-1\right), \quad N_{i+3}=4 \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3 \tag{57a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=-\xi_{i}\left(2 \xi_{i}-1\right) \frac{1}{3}\left(b_{k} \xi_{j}-b_{j} \xi_{k}\right), \quad L_{i+3}=-4 \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{3}\left[b_{j}\left(\xi_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-b_{i}\left(\xi_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \tag{57b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
M_{i}=-\xi_{i}\left(2 \xi_{i}-1\right) \frac{1}{3}\left(a_{k} \xi_{j}-a_{j} \xi_{k}\right), \quad M_{i+3}=-4 \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{3}\left[a_{j}\left(\xi_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-a_{i}\left(\xi_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]
$$

It should be stressed that in the expressions for $L_{i+3}$ and $M_{i+3}$ given here a typographic error in the Liu-Riggs original [8] is corrected to satisfy the rigid body conditions:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{6} N_{i}=1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{6} L_{i}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{6} M_{i}=0 . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element based on interpolations (56) - denoted as MIN6 - has been coded in the finite-element programme environment $F E A P$ [1] along with the earlier elements T3U2, T6-U3 and T10-U4. In contrast to MIN3 (without shear relaxation), which corresponds exactly to the $T 3-U 2$ presented in 6.1.1, the MIN6 element is different from the $T 6-U 3$ presented in 6.1.2, which has an additional bubble degree of freedom. It can be verified by direct calculation that the Liu-Riggs interpolation for MIN6 should coincide with the $T 6$ - $U 3$ interpolation given in (35) if the bubble degree of freedom were constrained to

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{b}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(b_{3}-b_{2}\right) \theta_{x 1}+\left(a_{3}-a_{2}\right) \theta_{y 1}+\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right) \theta_{x 2}+\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right) \theta_{y 2}+\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right) \theta_{x 3}+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) \theta_{y 3}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left[\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right) \theta_{x 4}+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) \theta_{y 4}+\left(b_{3}-b_{2}\right) \theta_{x 5}+\left(a_{3}-a_{2}\right) \theta_{y 5}+\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right) \theta_{x 6}+\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right) \theta_{y 6}\right] . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be made clear that the shear strain distribution of a certain order along an arbitrary direction is the basic underlying condition from which the MINn family of elements has been derived, while the shear strain distribution of a certain order along a direction parallel to the element sides is a consequence, rather than the origin of the family of triangle elements presented in Section 6.1. The presented methodology generates the linked interpolation from the underlying interpolation functions developed for the beam elements and may be consistently and straight-forwardly applied to triangular plate elements of arbitrary order. In contrast, the MINn
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methodology requires a symbolic manipulation of algebraic expressions which get progressively more complicated as the order of the element is raised.

### 5.4.2. Auricchio -Taylor elements based on linked interpolation and mixed approach

Auricchio and Taylor [3,7,12,30] have developed a concept based on mixed approach in designing three- and four-node elements in which they use linked interpolation for displacement and rotations and constrain it using separate interpolation for shear distribution over the element domain, for each element. To constrain deformations with shear they need equal number of bubble parameters and bubble higher order functions in the rotations fields to satisfy the so-called count conditions necessary to perform static condensation of the stiffness matrix for internal bubble and shear terms. These conditions are

$$
n_{\theta}+n_{w} \geq n_{s} \quad \text { and } \quad n_{s} \geq n_{w}
$$

where $n_{\theta}, n_{w}$ and $n_{s}$ are the total number of rotation, displacement and shear-force unknown parameters in the element test or in the patchwork of analysed elements.

The result is an excellent quadrilateral element Q4-LIM and slightly poorer triangle elements: T3BL and T3-LIM, which exhibit no shear locking phenomena in thin limit situations and are very efficient for both thick- and thin-plate cases.

[^3]
### 5.4.2.1.Three-node triangular plate element - T3BL

The displacement interpolation for this element is actually the same linked interpolation used for our T3-U2 element and the interpolation for rotations too, but enriched with two bubble terms. The displacement and rotations are written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\mathbf{N}_{w} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{N}_{w \theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}=w_{T 3 U 2}, \\
& \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}=\mathbf{N}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}+\mathbf{N}_{b} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y T 3 U 2}+\mathbf{N}_{b} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b} \text { (with two bubble terms in rotations). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{\theta}$ are matrices with Lagrangean interpolation functions and $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{w} \theta}$ is a matrix of linked functions projected to the global coordinates. $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is a matrix of bubble functions with $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{b}}$ vector of two bubble parameters.

The shear force is approximated over the element as constant:
$\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{N}_{s} \mathbf{S}_{s}$ (with two shear forces: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}$ at the centroid),
where $\mathbf{N}_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$.

Interpolation functions for the bubble parameters are the same for both rotation fields:

$$
N_{b x}=N_{b y}=27 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} .
$$

### 5.4.2.2.Four-node quadrilateral plate element - Q4-LIM

The notation used for expressing deformation of this quadrilateral element is equal as before. The displacement interpolation is, also the same linked interpolation used for our Q4-U2 element and the interpolation for rotations are also identical, but for the four bubble terms added to the Lagrangean part. The displacement and rotations are written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\mathbf{N}_{w} \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{N}_{w \theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}=w_{Q 4 U 2}, \\
& \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}=\mathbf{N}_{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y}+\mathbf{N}_{b} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{x, y Q 4 U 2}+\mathbf{N}_{b} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b} \text { (with four bubble terms in rotations). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The shear force is approximated linearly over the element with four parameters: constant shears for $\xi$ and $\eta$ directions at the centroid point of the element and two shear slopes in the same directions:
$\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{N}_{s} \mathbf{S}_{s}$ (with four shear parameters),
with $\quad \mathbf{N}_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}J_{11}^{0} & J_{21}^{0} & J_{11}^{0} \eta & J_{21}^{0} \xi \\ J_{12}^{0} & J_{22}^{0} & J_{12}^{0} \eta & J_{22}^{0} \xi\end{array}\right]$.

Matrix of rotation bubble functions is expressed as:

$$
\mathbf{N}_{b}=\frac{\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)}{j}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
J_{21}^{0} & -J_{11}^{0} & J_{21}^{0} \eta & -J_{11}^{0} \xi \\
J_{22}^{0} & -J_{12}^{0} & J_{22}^{0} \eta & -J_{12}^{0} \xi
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In the above matrices values $J_{11}^{0}, J_{12}^{0}, J_{21}^{0}$ and $J_{22}^{0}$ are elements of Jacobian transformation matrix at the element centroid.

### 5.5. Patch tests

Consistency of the developed elements is tested for the constant strain conditions on the patch example with ten elements, covering a rectangular domain of a plate as shown in Fig. 18. The displacements and rotations for the four internal nodes within the patch are checked for the specific displacements and rotations given at the four external nodes $[34,35]$. The plate properties are $E=10^{5}, v=0.25, k=5 / 6$, while two different thicknesses corresponding to a thick and a thin plate extremes are considered: $h=1.0$ and $h=0.01$.

Two strain-stress states are analysed [35]:

- Constant bending state

Displacements and rotations are expressed respectively by

$$
w=\left(1+x+2 y+x^{2}+x y+y^{2}\right) / 2, \quad \theta_{x}=(2+x+2 y) / 2, \quad \theta_{y}=-(1+2 x+y) / 2 .
$$

The exact displacements and rotations at the internal nodes and the exact strains and stress resultants at every integration point are expected. The
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moments are constant $M_{x}=M_{y}=-11111.11 h^{3}, M_{x y}=-33333.33 h^{3}$ and the shear forces vanish $\left(S_{x}=S_{y}=0\right)$.

- Constant shear state

Displacements and rotations are expressed respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
w=-\frac{h^{2}}{5(1-v)}(14 x+18 y)+x^{3}+2 y^{3}+3 x^{2} y+4 x y^{2}, \quad \theta_{x} & =3 x^{2}+8 x y+6 y^{2} \\
\theta_{y} & =-\left(3 x^{2}+6 x y+4 y^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact displacements and rotations at the internal nodes and the exact strains and stress resultants at every integration point are expected again. The shear forces are constant $S_{x}=-124400.0 h^{3}$ and $S_{y}=-160000.0 h^{3}$ in every Gauss point and the moments are linearly distributed according to
$M_{x}=-\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}[x(6+8 v)+y(6+12 v)], \quad M_{y}=-\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}[x(8+6 v)+y(12+6 v)]$ and $\quad M_{x y}=-\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{1-v}{2}(12 x+16 y)$.

The three node triangle element $T 3-U 2$ is tested on the patch given in Fig. 18. For the given values for the displacements and rotations at the external nodes calculated from the above data, the displacements and rotations at the internal nodes as well as the bending and torsional moments and the shear forces at the integration points are calculated and found out to correspond exactly to the analytical results given above for the constant bending test, but not for the constant shear test. It should be noted that the constant shear test performed here is related to a a linear change in curvature
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(third-order cylindrical bending) and in fact by definition requires an element to enable cubic distribution of the displacement field and the quadratic distribution of the rotation fields, for which the analysed element is not designed. This test should not be mistaken for the constant shear test with no curvature as a consequence of a suitable choice of distributed moment loadings [9], which the analysed element also passes.


- prescribed d.o.f.
checked d.o.f.

Fig. 18: Element patch for consistency assessment of three-node elements


Fig. 19: Element patch for consistency assessment of six-node elements
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The six node triangle element $T 6-U 3$ is tested on the similar patch example (the mesh is given in Fig. 19). Again, only the displacements and rotations at the boundary nodes are given ( 8 displacements and 16 rotations), while all the internal nodal displacements and rotations are to be calculated by the finite-element solution procedure. In fact they are calculated exactly for both the constant bending and the constant shear test. The moments and shear forces at the integration points are also exact.


Fig. 20: Element patch for consistency assessment of ten-node elements

The same patch tests are also successfully performed by the ten-node elements T10U4, where 48 parameters for the degrees of freedom are prescribed and 108 others are checked (Fig. 20).

The results of the patch tests for all three proposed triangular elements are given in Table 1 for the displacement at node 1 with co-ordinates $(0.04,0.02)$.

Furthermore, the quartic interpolation for the displacement field would enable the tennode element $T 10-U 4$ to exactly reproduce even the cylindrical bending of the fourth order.
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Table 1: The patch test results for the proposed elements (displacement at point 1: $w_{l}$ )

| Elements | Patch test for constant curvature |  |  | Patch test for constant shear |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $h=1.0$ | $h=0.01$ | result | $h=1.0$ | $h=0.01$ | result |
| T3-U2 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2455871 | 0.000318188 | fail |
| T6-U3 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450933 | 0.000215467 | pass |
| T10-U4 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450933 | 0.000215467 | pass |
| Analytical solution | 0.5414 | 0.5414 |  | -0.245093333 | 0.000215467 |  |



Fig. 21: Patch for consistency assessment of four-node elements

The four-node element $Q 4 U 2$ is also tested on the patch given in Fig. 21 for constant bending state. For the values of the displacements and rotations at the external nodes calculated from the above data, the displacements and rotations at the internal nodes as well as the bending and torsional moments and the shear forces at the integration points are calculated and again correspond exactly to the analytical results given above. The results are not affected if the internal nodes are defined at a different set of co-ordinates including the case in which one of the internal nodes corresponds with the upper right-hand corner of the patch as shown in Fig. 21. Effectively, the element
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naturally degenerates to a triangular element, which is also an observation made in [36].


Fig. 22: Patch for consistency assessment of nine node elements


Fig. 23: Patch for consistency assessment of sixteen node elements

The nine-node element $Q 9-U 3$ is tested on the same patch examples (the mesh is given in Fig. 22). Only the displacements and rotations at the boundary nodes are given ( 8 displacements and 16 rotations). All the other nodal displacements and rotations are to be calculated and they are calculated exactly for both the constant
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bending and the constant shear tests. The moments and shear forces at the integration points are again exact.

The same patch tests are also successfully performed by five sixteen-node elements Q16-U4, where 48 parameters for the degrees of freedom are prescribed and 108 others are checked out (Fig. 23).

The results of the patch tests for all three proposed quadrilateral elements are given the Table 2 for the control displacement at node 1 . All elements can pass the patch test for zero shear deformation and only Q4-U2 fails the test for non-zero constant shear.

Table 2: The patch test results for three proposed elements (control deformation at

$$
\text { point 1: } \left.w_{1}\right)
$$

| Elements | Patch test for zero shear |  |  | Patch test for non-zero constant shear |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $h=1.0$ | $h=0.01$ | result | $h=1.0$ | $h=0.01$ | result |
| Q4-U2 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2456171 | -0.000017896 | fail |
| Q9-U3 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450933 | 0.000215467 | pass |
| Q16-U4 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450933 | 0.000215467 | pass |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analytical | 0.5414 | 0.5414 |  | -0.245093333 | 0.000215467 |  |
| solution |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.6. Test examples for plates

In all the examples to follow the elements presented in this thesis are denoted as triangles $T 3-U 2$ for the three-node, $T 6-U 3$ for the six-node and $T 10-U 4$ for the tennode element and quadrilaterals $Q 4-U 2$ for the four-node, $Q 9-U 3$ for the nine-node and Q16-U4 for the sixteen-node element.
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The results for triangle elements are compared to the mixed-type element of Auricchio and Taylor denoted as T3-LIM [30] and integrated in FEAP (A Finite Element Analysis Program) by the same authors, or to the $T 3 B L$ element [12]. Also, comparison is made to MIN3 and MIN6 elements [8].

The results for quadrilaterals are compared with the mixed-type element of Auricchio and Taylor [3] denoted as Q4-LIM and with the hybrid-type element of de Miranda and Ubertini [9] denoted as $9 \beta Q 4$.

### 5.6.1. Clamped square plate

In this example a quadratic plate with clamped edges is considered. Only one quarter of the plate is modeled with symmetric boundary conditions imposed on the symmetry lines. Two ratios of span versus thickness are analysed, $L / h=10$ representing a relatively thick plate and $L / h=1000$ representing its thin counterpart. The loading on the plate is uniformly distributed of magnitude $q=1$. The plate material properties are $E=10.92$ and $v=0.3$.

The numerical results are given in Tables 3 and 4 for two different mesh patterns ( $a$ and $b$ in Fig. 24) and compared to the elements presented in [12, 30] based on the mixed approach or using the $T 3 B L$ element [12] from the finite-element analysis programme FEAP [1]. The dimensionless results $w^{*}=w /\left(q L^{4} / 100 D\right)$ and $M^{*}=M /$ $\left(q L^{2} / 100\right)$, where $D=E h^{3} /\left(12\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right)$ and $L$ is the plate span, given in these tables are
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$\qquad$
related to the central displacement of the plate and the bending moment at the integration point nearest to the centre of the plate. The number of elements per mesh in these tables is given for one quarter of the structure as shown in Fig. 24 for the $4 \times 4$ mesh consisting of 32 elements.


Fig. 24: A quarter of the square plate under uniform load (32-element mesh)

Table 3a: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at the centre using mesh pattern a), $L / h=10$

| Element | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 1 x 1 | 0.011905 | - | 0.109953 | 2.78382 | 0.149621 | 2.24062 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.093113 | 1.54908 | 0.147738 | 2.44245 | 0.150373 | 2.10660 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.136004 | 2.14715 | 0.150250 | 2.35521 | 0.150456 | 2.31965 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.146780 | 2.28127 | 0.1504437 | 2.32909 | 0.1504623 | 2.31997 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.149521 | 2.31093 | 0.1504611 | 2.32229 | 0.1504626 | 2.31998 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.150224 | 2.31779 | 0.1504625 | 2.32056 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.1504021 | 2.31944 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |
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| Element <br> mesh | T3BL |  | MIN6 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.027452 | - | 0.111003 | 2.68933 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.108628 | 1.706 | 0.147927 | 2.43947 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.139052 | 2.172 | 0.150267 | 2.35489 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.147453 | 2.284 | 0.1504445 | 2.32907 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.149685 | 2.311 | 0.1504611 | 2.32229 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.150264 | 2.318 | 0.1504625 | 2.32056 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.150412 | 2.319 |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |

Clearly, all the new elements converge towards the same solution as the elements from the literature, and the higher-order elements exhibit an expected faster convergence rate. Still, the lowest-order element $T 3-U 2$ is somewhat inferior to $T 3 B L$, which is not surprising knowing that that element is actually based on the linked interpolation as in T3-U2 on top of which additional improvements are made. The minute differences between the results of T6-U3 and MIN6 are attributed to the slight difference in these elements as explained in Section 6.1.2. The results do not differ considerably between the two mesh patterns (shown in Tables 3a and 3b).

Table 3b: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at the centre using mesh pattern b), $L / h=10$

| Element | T3-U2 =MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.023810 | - | 0.133334 | 3.31099 | 0.150650 | 2.29043 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.107572 | 1.67455 | 0.150247 | 2.63888 | 0.150386 | 2.31564 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.141724 | 2.20593 | 0.150447 | 2.39797 | 0.150455 | 2.31955 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.148406 | 2.29114 | 0.150458 | 2.33941 | 0.1504622 | 2.31996 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.149959 | 2.31216 | 0.1504621 | 2.32486 | 0.1504626 | 2.31998 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.150339 | 2.31796 | 0.1504625 | 2.32121 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.150432 | 2.31948 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |
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| Element <br> mesh | T3BL [12] |  | MIN6 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.128411 | 3.21000 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.126275 | 1.59649 | 0.150072 | 2.63367 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.144973 | 2.15009 | 0.150435 | 2.39768 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.149114 | 2.27741 | 0.150457 | 2.33937 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.150131 | 2.30930 | 0.1504620 | 2.32486 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.150382 | 2.31734 | 0.1504625 | 2.32121 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.150443 | 2.31933 |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |

Convergence of the central displacement for the thick-plate case is presented in Fig. 25 , with respect to the number of degrees of freedom (in logarithmic scale). Best convergence with respect to the number of degrees of freedom can be observed in elements with higher-order linked interpolation and it may be concluded that for the thick clamped plate the present elements converge competitively for a comparable number of degrees of freedom.
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Fig. 25: Convergence of the transverse displacement at the centre
for $L / h=10$, mesh pattern $a$ and $b$

The $M_{x}$ moment distribution along the $x$ axis is computed at the Gauss points closest to the axis and, beginning from the centre point of the plate, shown in Fig. 26. These results are the same as the results for the moment $M_{y}$ along the $y$ direction. For $T 3-U 2$ element, the moment is constant across the element, owing to its dependence on the derivatives of rotations (32) in both directions. For elements T6-U3 and T10-U4, the moment distribution is accordingly linear or quadratic, respectively, and the results converge towards the exact distribution fast. Similar observations may be made for the distribution of the shear-stress resultants.

For the thin plate case shown in Tables 4 a and 4 b , the elements $T 3-U 2$ and $T 6-U 3$ suffer from some shear locking when the meshes are coarse, but as expected they
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converge to the correct result. The higher-order elements exhibit an expected faster convergence rate.

A comparison is again made between the two different triangular mesh patterns (Tables 4 a and 4 b ). As for the case of the thick plate, the lowest-order element T3-U2 is still somewhat inferior to $T 3 B L$, while $T 6-U 3$ is marginally better than MIN6. The results for the mesh pattern $b$ ) are slightly better than those for the mesh pattern $a$ ).


Fig. 26: Moment $M_{x}$ distribution along element's Gauss points closest to the $x$ axis on the $4 x 4$ regular mesh for the clamped plate with $L / h=10$

Likewise, comparing the triangular linked-interpolation elements to their quadrilateral counterparts [29] shows that for the same number of the degrees of freedom the latter converges a little faster (Table 6).
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Table 4a: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment
at the centre using mesh pattern a), $L / h=1000$.

| Element | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| mesh | 0.000001 |  | 0.000057 | 0.00195 | 0.113949 | 2.03147 |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.000052 | 0.00112 | 0.080795 | 1.09704 | 0.125997 | 2.28567 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.001531 | 0.02764 | 0.116226 | 1.87195 | 0.126515 | 2.29025 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.025112 | 0.38406 | 0.125363 | 2.18328 | 0.1265340 | 2.29044 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.100985 | 1.64321 | 0.126496 | 2.27223 | 0.1265344 | 2.29050 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.124358 | 2.20020 | 0.1265336 | 2.28838 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.126356 | 2.28191 |  |  |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 |
| Ref. sol. [11] |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Element <br> mesh | T3BL |  | MIN6 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.000048 | 0.00159 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.083024 | 1.624 | 0.080767 | 1.09628 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.113803 | 2.084 | 0.116161 | 1.86944 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.123452 | 2.240 | 0.125316 | 2.18097 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.125790 | 2.278 | 0.126494 | 2.27158 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.126352 | 2.287 | 0.1265336 | 2.28826 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.126489 | 2.290 |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [11] | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 |

Table 4b: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at the centre using mesh pattern b ), $L / h=1000$.

| Element | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.000069 | 0.00206 | 0.130198 | 4.05972 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.000062 | 0.00127 | 0.097869 | 2.03760 | 0.126738 | 2.74752 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.001793 | 0.03807 | 0.121256 | 2.44562 | 0.126527 | 2.34533 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.028267 | 0.55913 | 0.125905 | 2.38599 | 0.1265340 | 2.29246 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.104428 | 2.12079 | 0.1265121 | 2.31332 | 0.1265344 | 2.29055 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.124820 | 2.32200 | 0.1265341 | 2.29420 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.126403 | 2.29435 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [11] | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 |
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| Element <br> mesh | T3BL [12] |  | MIN6 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.000061 | 0.00182 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.093098 | 1.40767 | 0.097850 | 2.03613 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.118006 | 2.10245 | 0.121205 | 2.43983 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.124616 | 2.24825 | 0.125878 | 2.38437 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.126092 | 2.28031 | 0.1265107 | 2.31409 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.126429 | 2.28798 | 0.1265341 | 2.29440 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.126509 | 2.28987 |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [11] | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 |

The same example of the clamped plate (Fig. 27) is modeled with presented quadrilateral elements $Q 4-U 2, Q 9-U 3$ and Q16-U4.


Fig. 27: A quarter of the square plate under uniform load (16-element mesh)

Numerical results are given in Tables 5 and 6 and compared to the elements presented in [3,9] based on the mixed approach and with the reference solutions taken from [3]. The number of elements per mesh in these tables relates to one quarter of the structure as shown in Fig. 27 for the mesh of $4 \times 4$ elements.
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Table 5: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at
the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=10$

| Element <br> mesh | Q4-U2 |  | Q9-U3 |  | Q16-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.02679 |  | 0.15059 | 1.971 | 0.14974 | 2.08359 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.11920 | 1.63801 | 0.15046 | 2.229 | 0.15041 | 2.30177 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.14361 | 2.16385 | 0.15044 | 2.297 | 0.15046 | 2.31802 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.14876 | 2.28107 | 0.15046 | 2.314 | 0.15046 | 2.31966 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.15004 | 2.31025 | 0.15046 | 2.31857 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.15036 | 2.31755 | 0.15046 | 2.31963 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.15044 | 2.31938 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |


| Element <br> mesh | Q4-LIM |  | $9 \beta Q 4$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.12107 |  |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.14211 | 1.660 | 0.1625190 | 2.83817 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.14858 | 2.157 | 0.1534432 | 2.44825 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.14997 | 2.279 | 0.1511805 | 2.35119 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.15034 | 2.310 | 0.1506379 | 2.32770 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.15043 | 2.317 | 0.1505061 | 2.32191 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.15045 | 2.319 |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |

Convergence of the displacement error is presented in Fig. 28, with respect to the number of degrees of freedom in chosen element meshes (in logarithmic scale). Zero error value corresponds to the referent solution taken from [3,17]. Best convergence with respect to the number of degrees of freedom can be observed in elements with higher-order linked interpolation. Table 5 and Fig. 28 show that for the thick clamped plate the present elements converge competitively for a comparable number of degrees of freedom.

In Fig. 29, the $M_{x}$ moment distribution along the $x$ axis is shown (actually at the Gauss points closest to the axis), beginning from the centre point of the plate (origin of the co-ordinate system of the mesh). These results are the same as the results for the
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moment $M_{y}$ along the $y$ direction. For $Q 4-U 2$ element, moment is distributed linearly across the element, owing to its dependence on the curvatures in both directions for any non-zero value of the Poisson coefficient (12). For $v=0$ this moment would be constant as in the Timoshenko beam. For higher-order elements, the moment distribution is accordingly described by higher polynomials, and the results converge towards the exact distribution fast.


Fig. 28: Convergence of the transverse displacement error at the centre on regular meshes for clamped plate $L / h=10$
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Fig. 29: Moment $M_{x}$ distribution along element's Gauss points closest to the $x$ axis on the $4 \times 4$ regular mesh for the clamped plate with $L / h=10$

In Fig. 30, shear distribution $S_{x}$ along the $x$ axis is shown (again at the closest Gauss point to the axis), beginning from the centre point of the plate. For $Q 4-U 2$ element, the distribution of $S_{x}$ along the $x$ axis is linear, but this time due to the internal bubble interpolation function (41) without which it would be constant. The same shear $S_{x}$ but along the $y$ axis is quadratic due to the linked interpolation functions and their derivatives. For the higher order elements, the distribution is of a correspondingly higher order, and the difference with respect to the exact shear force distribution becomes increasingly smaller.
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Fig. 30: Shear force $S_{x}$ distribution along element's Gauss points closest to the $x$ axis on the $4 x 4$ regular mesh for the
clamped plate with $L / h=10$

For the thin plate case, the displacement-based elements $Q 4-U 2$ and $Q 9-U 3$ obviously suffer from shear locking when the meshes are coarse (lower order element Q4-U2), but they still converge to the correct result competitively fast, in particular the higherorder elements Q9-U3 and Q16-U4.

A comparison is done between full integration rule for deformation energy, and that is 3 by 3 integration points for quadratic interpolations (Q4-U2), 4 by 4 integration for cubic interpolations ( $Q 9-U 3$ ) and 5 by 5 integration for bi-quadratic interpolations (Q16-U4), with the reduced ones on the same elements.
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Table 6: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=1000$. In upper part integration is performed with full integration rule. I lower part the reduced integration is performed.

| Element | Q4-U2 | G.p.3x3 | Q9-U3 | G.p.4x4 | Q16-U4 | G.p. $5 \times 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.0000027 |  | 0.0002699 | 0.0041 | 0.13241 | 3.70328 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.0001284 |  | 0.099183 | 1.998 | 0.12646 | 2.40533 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.0046933 | 0.10106 | 0.12112 | 2.224 | 0.12653 | 2.29613 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.059881 | 1.17024 | 0.12621 | 2.282 | 0.12653 | 2.29039 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.11899 | 2.16797 | 0.12653 | 2.28902 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.12600 | 2.28115 | 0.12653 | 2.29016 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.12648 | 2.28948 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 |


| Element | Q4-U2 | G.p.2x2 | Q9-U3 | G.p.3x3 | Q16-U4 | G.p.4x4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.0000027 |  | 0.00060 | 0.01432 | 0.13229 | 3.46904 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.0001706 | 0.00287 | 0.09832 | 1.90152 | 0.12627 | 2.24644 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.0105329 | 0.25856 | 0.12163 | 2.23799 | 0.1265285 | 2.28636 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.095705 | 1.96897 | 0.12629 | 2.28926 | 0.1265344 | 2.28986 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.12406 | 2.26174 | 0.1265295 | 2.29105 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.12634 | 2.28730 | 0.1265344 | 2.29066 |  |  |
| 64x64 | 0.12650 | 2.28987 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 |


| Element <br> mesh | Q4-LIM |  |  | $9 \beta Q 4$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |  |  |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.090741 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.11469 |  | 0.13766768 | 2.745544 |  |  |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.12362 | 2.120 | 0.12938531 | 2.423885 |  |  |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.12584 | 2.248 | 0.12725036 | 2.323949 |  |  |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.12637 | 2.280 | 0.12671406 | 2.298876 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.12649 | 2.288 | 0.12657946 | 2.292605 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.12652 | 2.290 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 | 0.1265319 | 2.29051 |  |  |

The reduced integration in the middle part of table 2 shows some improvement in convergence for low order elements and coarse meshes, but has no importance for higher order elements and dense meshes.
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### 5.6.2. Simply supported square plate

In this example the quadratic plate as before is considered, but this time with the simply supported edges of the type SS 2 (displacements and rotations around the normal to the edge set to zero) as shown in Fig. 31. The same elements as before are tested and the results are given in Tables 7 and 8 for the thick and the thin plate, respectively, compared again to the elements presented in $[3,30]$.

The dimensionless results $w^{*}=w /\left(q L^{4} / 100 D\right)$ and $M^{*}=M /\left(q L^{2} / 100\right)$ given in these tables are related to the central displacement of the plate and the bending moment at the integration point nearest to the centre of the plate. The number of elements per mesh in these tables relates to one quarter of the structure.


Fig. 31: A quarter of the square plate under uniform load (32-element mesh)
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Table 7a: Simply supported square plate (SS2) under uniformly distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre using
mesh pattern a), $L / h=10$.

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.337426 | 3.38542 | 0.392336 | 4.67154 | 0.427715 | 4.75435 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.387559 | 4.24192 | 0.425236 | 4.86395 | 0.427289 | 4.78646 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.418375 | 4.71153 | 0.427160 | 4.81585 | 0.4272843 | 4.78851 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.425129 | 4.78211 | 0.427276 | 4.79595 | 0.4272842 | 4.78863 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.426739 | 4.78913 | 0.4272837 | 4.79050 | 0.4272842 | 4.78862 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.427145 | 4.78913 | 0.4272842 | 4.78910 |  |  |
| 64x64 | 0.427249 | 4.78883 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 |
| Ref. [11] |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Element <br> mesh | T3BL [12] |  | MIN6 |  | T3-LIM [30 ] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.394395 | 4.63782 |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.403591 | 4.48351 | 0.425509 | 4.85815 | 0.40786 | 4.0918 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.421194 | 4.74389 | 0.427183 | 4.81531 | 0.42293 | 4.6063 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.425688 | 4.78521 | 0.427278 | 4.79591 | 0.42627 | 4.7398 |
| 16x16 | 0.426869 | 4.78940 | 0.427284 | 4.79049 | 0.42704 | 4.7755 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.427177 | 4.78915 | 0.427284 | 4.78910 | 0.42723 | 4.7852 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.427257 | 4.78883 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 |
| Ref. [11] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7b: Simply supported square plate (SS2) under uniformly distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre with mesh pattern b), $L / h=10$.

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.080445 | 3.89473 | 0.436000 | 6.02777 | 0.427448 | 4.82577 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.352341 | 4.06337 | 0.428107 | 5.07579 | 0.427286 | 4.78651 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.413784 | 4.64329 | 0.427349 | 4.85350 | 0.4272838 | 4.78845 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.424307 | 4.74712 | 0.427289 | 4.80443 | 0.4272842 | 4.78862 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.426574 | 4.77642 | 0.4272846 | 4.79257 | 0.4272842 | 4.78862 |
| 32x32 | 0.427111 | 4.78524 | 0.4272843 | 4.78962 |  |  |
| 64x64 | 0.427242 | 4.78772 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 |
| Ref. [11] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 8a: Simply supported square plate (SS2) under uniformly distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre with mesh
pattern a), $L / h=1000$.

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.325522 | 3.38542 | 0.325543 | 2.51970 | 0.405992 | 4.53393 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.325541 | 3.07155 | 0.389672 | 3.56892 | 0.406198 | 4.78210 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.326331 | 2.67663 | 0.402526 | 4.25254 | 0.406234 | 4.78844 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.339027 | 2.80820 | 0.405659 | 4.62852 | 0.4062373 | 4.78860 |
| 16x16 | 0.383803 | 4.01006 | 0.406211 | 4.76246 | 0.4062374 | 4.78861 |
| 32x32 | 0.403889 | 4.67235 | 0.406237 | 4.78543 |  |  |
| 64x64 | 0.406062 | 4.77806 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 |
| Ref. $[11]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Element | T3BL [12 ] |  | MIN6 |  | T3-LIM [30 ] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.325542 | 2.51962 |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.377793 | 4.26461 | 0.389669 | 3.56861 | 0.38412 | 4.0831 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.399068 | 4.65804 | 0.402518 | 4.25114 | 0.40114 | 4.6071 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.404642 | 4.76074 | 0.405646 | 4.62584 | 0.40503 | 4.7408 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.405871 | 4.78282 | 0.406209 | 4.76157 | 0.40594 | 4.7759 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.406150 | 4.78747 | 0.406237 | 4.78525 | 0.40616 | 4.7853 |
| 64x64 | 0.406216 | 4.78844 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 |
| Ref. [11] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8b: Simply supported square plate (SS2) under uniformly distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre with mesh
pattern b), $L / h=1000$.

| Element <br> mesh | $T 3-U 2=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.0000116 | - | 0.416667 | 6.49979 | 0.407968 | 5.68492 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.0006793 | - | 0.409630 | 5.89979 | 0.406485 | 4.99024 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.0143996 | 0.18208 | 0.407029 | 5.34060 | 0.406249 | 4.81505 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.146596 | 1.89158 | 0.406347 | 4.96262 | 0.4062375 | 4.78956 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.359328 | 4.79873 | 0.406244 | 4.81830 | 0.4062374 | 4.78866 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.402644 | 4.84606 | 0.4062376 | 4.79308 |  |  |
| 64x64 | 0.405975 | 4.79435 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 |
| Ref. [11] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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For the thick plate case, it can be concluded that elements T6-U3 and T10-U4 converge considerably faster than elements based on the mixed approach and no locking can be observed on coarse meshes, even for the three-node element $T 3-U 2$. In the thin plate example, locking on the coarse meshes can be observed for $T 3-U 2$, but higher order elements, again, show very good convergence rate.

In contrast to the clamped plate problem, here mesh pattern a) has slightly better convergence than mesh pattern $b$ ), where the exact solution for the higher-order elements T6-U3 and T10-U4 is approached from the softer side.


Fig. 32: A quarter of the square plate under uniform load (16-element mesh)

The same example of the quadratic plate as before is considered subjected to uniformly distributed pressure, but this time with quadrilateral elements. The results are given in Tables 9 and 10 for the thick and the thin plate, respectively. The model is also analysed for central point load $P$, for various span to thickness ratios and results are given in Table 11.
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Table 9: Simply supported square plate (SS2) under uniformly distributed load:
displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=10$

| Mesh | Q4-U2 |  | Q9-U3 |  | Q16-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.26102 | 1.62404 | 0.42983 | 4.443 | 0.42717 | 4.66623 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.41163 | 4.140 | 0.42749 | 4.700 | 0.42728 | 4.77762 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.42448 | 4.636 | 0.42730 | 4.766 | 0.42728 | 4.78712 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.42664 | 4.751 | 0.42729 | 4.783 | 0.42728 | 4.78833 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.42713 | 4.779 | 0.42728 | 4.78722 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.42725 | 4.78629 | 0.42728 | 4.78828 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.42727 | 4.78805 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 |


| Mesh | Q4-LIM |  | $9 \beta Q 4$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.40562 | 2.078 |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.42626 | 4.125 | 0.4286943 | 5.264135 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.42720 | 4.623 | 0.4276333 | 4.905958 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.42727 | 4.747 | 0.4273690 | 4.817645 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.42728 | 4.778 | 0.4273052 | 4.795864 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.42728 | 4.786 | 0.4272895 | 4.790443 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.42728 | 4.788 |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.427284 | 4.78863 | 0.427284 | 4.78863 |

For the thick plate case it can be concluded that elements $Q 4-U 2$ and $Q 9-U 3$ converge a little slower, but element Q16-U4 shows remarkable accuracy even for a very coarse mesh.

Table 10: Simply supported square plate (SS2) under uniformly
distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre with
regular meshes, $L / h=1000$

| Element <br> mesh | $Q 4-U 2$ |  | Q9-U3 |  |  | Q16-U4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.0000008 | 0.00053 | 0.35527 | 3.531 | 0.41220 | 5.49186 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.0031093 | 0.03396 | 0.39807 | 4.609 | 0.40647 | 4.85587 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.055621 | 0.66535 | 0.40475 | 4.751 | 0.40624 | 4.79124 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.29658 | 3.52362 | 0.40615 | 4.782 | 0.40624 | 4.78843 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.39706 | 4.67709 | 0.40624 | 4.78720 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.40562 | 4.77974 | 0.40624 | 4.78828 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.40619 | 4.78764 |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 |
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| Element <br> mesh | Q4-LIM |  |  | $9 \beta Q 4$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.37646 | 2.018 |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.40365 | 4.119 | 0.4063653 | 5.241563 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.40586 | 4.623 | 0.4063062 | 4.904153 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.40616 | 4.747 | 0.4062559 | 4.817513 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.40622 | 4.778 | 0.4062421 | 4.795855 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.40623 | 4.786 | 0.4062386 | 4.790442 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.40624 | 4.788 |  |  |
| Navier series | 0.406237 | 4.78863 | 0.406237 | 4.78863 |

For the thin plate case elements $Q 4-U 2$ and $Q 9-U 3$ still suffer from shear locking, but element Q16-U4 again shows very good convergence rate.

Table 11: Simply supported square plate (SS2) with the central
point force: The displacement and the moment at the centre
with regular mashes, $L / h=10$ :

| Element | Q4-U2 |  | Q9-U3 |  |  | Q16-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |  |  |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  |  |  | 1.323308 | 0.241877 |  |  |
| $2 \times 2$ |  |  | 1.325420 | 0.250847 | 1.367704 | 0.315569 |  |  |
| $4 \times 4$ | 1.278513 | 0.205486 | 1.368758 | 0.324208 | 1.402043 | 0.387595 |  |  |
| $8 \times 8$ | 1.342758 | 0.276341 | 1.403094 | 0.396356 | 1.434254 | 0.459374 |  |  |
| $16 \times 16$ | 1.384068 | 0.347739 | 1.435309 | 0.468175 |  |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 1.418471 | 0.419343 | 1.467002 | 0.539910 |  |  |  |  |
| 64x64 | 1.450823 | 0.491019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.346 |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 1.346 |  | 1.346 |  |  |  |  |  |

The displacement and the moment at the centre with regular mashes, $L / h=100$ :

| Element <br> mesh | $Q 4-U 2$ |  | $Q 9-U 3$ |  | Q16-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  |  |  | 0.1124933 | 0.207525 |
| $2 \times 2$ |  |  | 0.1117242 | 0.216046 | 0.1155850 | 0.298162 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.1032672 | 0.173925 | 0.1156059 | 0.310005 | 0.1161326 | 0.380545 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.1141181 | 0.264313 | 0.1161416 | 0.391813 | 0.1162576 | 0.457264 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.1157769 | 0.343923 | 0.1162595 | 0.466979 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.1161476 | 0.418526 | 0.1163095 | 0.539615 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.1162663 | 0.490966 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 1.160 |  | 1.160 |  | 1.160 |  |
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The displacement and the moment at the centre with regular mashes, $L / h=1000$ :

| Mesh | $Q 4-U 2$ |  | $Q 9-U 3$ |  | $Q 16-U 4$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 1x1 |  |  |  |  | 1.108122 | 0.186094 |
| $2 \times 2$ |  |  | 1.034215 | 0.141198 | 1.147743 | 0.262474 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.142801 | 0.020359 | 1.128158 | 0.229189 | 1.157285 | 0.341231 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 1.119129 | 0.278071 | 1.159353 | 0.328508 | 1.159517 | 0.422959 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 1.155844 | 0.383003 | 1.160005 | 0.520375 |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 1.159544 | 0.474917 |  |  |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Navier series | 1.160 | $\infty$ | 1.160 | $\infty$ | 1.160 | $\infty$ |

where is $w^{*}=w /\left(P L^{2} / 100 D\right)$ and $M^{*}=M / P$ in the nearest Gauss point (Q4-U2: $3 \times 3, Q 9-U 3: 4 \times 4, Q 16-U 4: 5 \times 5)$.


Fig. 33: Moment $M_{x}$ distribution along element's Gauss points closest to the $x$ axis on the $4 \times 4$ regular mesh for the concentrated force at the midpoint of the simply supported square plate with $L / h=100$
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The moment distribution for this load case and $L / h=100$ and with 4 by 4 element mesh is given in Fig. 33. The moment has singularity at the central point as is well known.

### 5.6.3. Simply supported skew plate

In this example the rhombic plate is considered with the simply supported edges (this time, of the so-called soft type SS1 [38]) to test performance of the rhombic elements. The problem geometry and material properties are given in Fig. 34, where an example of a 64-element mesh is shown. Three different mesh patterns are tested, as shown in Fig. 35.


Fig. 34: A simply supported (SS1) skew plate under uniform load

The same three elements as before are tested and the results are given in Tables 12
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and 13 for the thick and the thin plate, respectively. The dimensionless results $w^{*}=w$ / $\left(q L^{4} / 10^{4} D\right), M_{11} *=M_{11} /\left(q L^{2} / 100\right)$ and $M_{22} *=M_{22} /\left(q L^{2} / 100\right)$ are related to the central displacement of the plate and the principal bending moments in diagonal directions at the integration point nearest to the centre of the plate.

The tested example has two orthogonal axes of symmetry, A-C-B and D-C-E, and only one triangular quarter may be taken for analysis $[26,38]$. Since there is a singularity in the moment field at the obtuse vertex, this test example is a difficult one. Even more, the analytical solution [38] reveals that moments in the principal directions near the obtuse vertex have opposite signs.

In contrast to the earlier examples, it must be noted that here the new displacementbased elements perform worse than the elements given in [3,12], both for the thick and the thin plate examples. Tables 12 c and 13 c now reveal slightly more pronounced differences in the results obtained using elements T6-U3 and MIN6, where the latter are somewhat worse, apparently owing to the absence of the internal bubble parameter present in T6-U3 (see Section 6.1.2). It is interesting to notice that among the presented family of elements with linked interpolation, the best convergence is observed for the mesh pattern a). Also, from Tables 12d and 13d it is apparent that for this test example the new triangular family of linked-interpolation elements is in fact superior to the family of quadrilateral linked-interpolation elements presented in this thesis.
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Fig. 35: A simply supported (SS1) skew plate with three different mesh patterns

Table 12a: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=100$. Mesh pattern a).

| Element | T3-U2 =MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.425288 | 0.65647 | 1.35584 | 0.442337 | 1.59547 | 2.48908 | 0.259711 | 0.67991 | 1.29292 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.393156 | 1.00823 | 1.72050 | 0.391393 | 1.38415 | 2.10533 | 0.410136 | 1.17851 | 1.92258 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.376569 | 1.11747 | 1.84630 | 0.409028 | 1.18349 | 1.96100 | 0.419818 | 1.12774 | 1.94013 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.403524 | 1.09072 | 1.87753 | 0.419769 | 1.13814 | 1.95024 | 0.423207 | 1.13774 | 1.95080 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.412799 | 1.10360 | 1.92291 | 0.422181 | 1.13934 | 1.95222 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.416390 | 1.11361 | 1.93165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.419306 | 1.12368 | 1.93948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [28] | 0.423 |  |  |  | 0.423 |  |  | 0.423 |  |
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| Element <br> mesh | T3-LIM $[30]$ |  |  | MIN6 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M $_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.63591 | 0.9207 | 1.7827 | 0.442458 | 1.61239 | 2.53454 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.45819 | 1.0376 | 1.8532 | 0.386472 | 1.36333 | 2.10434 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.43037 | 1.1008 | 1.9247 | 0.405862 | 1.16617 | 1.95019 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.42382 | 1.1233 | 1.9376 | 0.418385 | 1.13270 | 1.94618 |
| $24 \times 24$ |  |  |  | 0.421307 | 1.13604 | 1.94954 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.42183 | 1.1284 | 1.9344 |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [28] | 0.423 |  |  | 0.423 |  |  |

Table 12b: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=100$. Mesh pattern b).

| Node <br> mesh | D.o.f. | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}$ * |
|  | 19 | 0.038301 | 0.10796 | 0.33128 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 59 | 0.071514 | 0.18081 | 0.49677 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 211 | 0.170524 | 0.39288 | 0.98827 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 803 | 0.285767 | 0.67726 | 1.48281 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 1779 | 0.337019 | 0.82818 | 1.67627 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 3139 | 0.362652 | 0.91441 | 1.76454 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 7011 | 0.386794 | 1.00372 | 1.84209 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [39] |  | 0.423 |  |  |

Table 12c: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with Riggs-Liu meshes, $L / h=100$. Mesh pattern c),

| Element <br> mesh | D.o.f. | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | MIN6 (Liu - Riggs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{1 I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ |
| $4 \times 4$ | 107 | 0.394314 | 0.99968 | 1.76770 | 0.442714 | 1.57560 | 2.42260 | 0.443030 | 1.58489 | 2.44230 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 403 | 0.380630 | 1.09990 | 1.85008 | 0.398472 | 1.29021 | 1.99636 | 0.394705 | 1.28551 | 1.99557 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 1571 | 0.405027 | 1.09959 | 1.90959 | 0.412030 | 1.16231 | 1.93387 | 0.410138 | 1.15652 | 1.92888 |
| Ref. <br> $[39]$ |  | 0.423 |  |  | 0.423 |  |  | 0.423 |  |  |
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Table 12d: Simply supported skew plate (SS1) under uniformly
distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre using
quadrilateral linked-interpolation elements [29], $L / h=100$

| Element | $Q 4-U 2$ |  |  | $Q 9-U 3$ |  |  | Q16-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22^{*}}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.06153 | 0.1349 | 0.3763 | 0.21493 | 0.4860 | 1.0536 | 0.28406 | 0.8404 | 1.5335 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.16287 | 0.3659 | 0.9226 | 0.32974 | 0.8227 | 1.6486 | 0.37778 | 0.9642 | 1.8310 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.29165 | 0.6870 | 1.4858 | 0.38719 | 1.0083 | 1.8508 | 0.40497 | 1.0681 | 1.8962 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.37449 | 0.9470 | 1.7959 | 0.40904 | 1.0890 | 1.9094 | 0.41774 | 1.1172 | 1.9345 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.39633 | 1.0348 | 1.8690 | 0.41554 | 1.1115 | 1.9279 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.40536 | 1.0696 | 1.8890 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.41326 | 1.1028 | 1.9213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [39] | 0.423 |  |  |  | 0.423 |  |  | 0.423 |  |


| Mesh | Q4-LIM |  |  | $9 \beta Q 4$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{I}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{2}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{1}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{2}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.52729 | 0.745 | 1.42 |  |  |  |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.42571 | 1.068 | 1.775 | 0.502900 | 1.354215 | 2.054527 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.42096 | 1.140 | 1.773 | 0.443176 | 1.082957 | 1.956494 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.42307 | 1.172 | 1.858 | 0.432211 | 1.149921 | 1.966940 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.42494 | 1.183 | 1.880 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.42619 | 1.190 | 1.889 | 0.426964 | 1.148682 | 1.959293 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.42777 | 1.196 | 1.897 |  |  |  |
| Ref. [39] | 0.423 |  |  |  |  |  |

In contrast to the earlier examples, it must be noted that here the new displacementbased element perform worse than the elements given in [3,9], both for the thick and the thin plate examples.

The distribution of the principal moments between the obtuse angle at A and the centre-point C is very complex owing to the presence of singularity at A and worth particular consideration. The principal moment $M_{11}$ acting around the in-plane normal to the shorter diagonal converges towards the exact solution satisfactorily, but for the principal moment $M_{22}$ acting around the shorter diagonal it is obvious that this family
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of elements finds it difficult to follow the exact moment distribution near the singularity point. These results are shown in Fig. 36 for triangle elements.

Table 13a: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=1000$. Mesh pattern a).

| lement <br> mesh | T3-U2 = MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.421115 | 0.64790 | 1.33819 | 0.443104 | 1.62431 | 2.52722 | 0.246108 | 0.60215 | 1.16324 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.393999 | 1.01757 | 1.67013 | 0.348698 | 1.30423 | 1.98429 | 0.356469 | 1.05704 | 1.76663 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.305318 | 1.11745 | 1.58532 | 0.326564 | 0.86335 | 1.71994 | 0.365434 | 0.95047 | 1.78325 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.285607 | 1.05519 | 1.61422 | 0.358165 | 0.97179 | 1.80192 | 0.390331 | 1.02111 | 1.85543 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.309866 | 1.05211 | 1.68162 | 0.376441 | 0.99480 | 1.82813 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.330657 | 1.00984 | 1.72183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.360440 | 0.96062 | 1.77377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [38] | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |


| Element | T3BL[12] |  |  | MIN6 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.537100 | 0.74915 | 1.44018 | 0.443109 | 1.62421 | 2.52671 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.420881 | 0.95544 | 1.72257 | 0.348478 | 1.30308 | 1.98405 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.415286 | 1.09045 | 1.89280 | 0.324182 | 0.83373 | 1.70398 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.413626 | 1.10028 | 1.91234 | 0.354328 | 0.93747 | 1.78247 |
| $24 \times 24$ |  |  |  | 0.373069 | 0.97850 | 1.81691 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.412734 | 1.09998 | 1.91781 |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.412062 | 1.10022 | 1.91790 |  |  |  |
| Ref. [38] | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |

Table 13b: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=1000$. Mesh pattern b).

| Element | D.o.f. <br> mesh |  | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{1 I}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| $2 \times 2$ | 19 | 0.035848 | 0.10398 | 0.32325 |  |
| $4 \times 4$ | 59 | 0.016994 | 0.09510 | 0.29664 |  |
| $8 \times 8$ | 211 | 0.034444 | 0.13982 | 0.42144 |  |
| $16 \times 16$ | 803 | 0.105405 | 0.25184 | 0.67616 |  |
| $24 \times 24$ | 1779 | 0.166415 | 0.38001 | 0.95930 |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 3139 | 0.216686 | 0.49628 | 1.18776 |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 7011 | 0.283740 | 0.66654 | 1.47484 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [38] |  | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |  |

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

Sveučilište u Rijeci
Trg braće Mažuranića 10


Table 13c: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with Riggs-Liu meshes, $L / h=1000$. Mesh pattern c).

| Element <br> mesh | D.o.f. | T3-U2 = MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | MIN6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}$ * | $M_{11}$ * | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}$ * | $M_{11}$ * | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}$ * | $M_{11}$ * |
| 4 x 4 | 107 | 0.390176 | 0.99889 | 1.75653 | 0.443535 | 1.62871 | 2.54207 | 0.443541 | 1.62901 | 2.54279 |
| 8 x 8 | 403 | 0.332815 | 1.01588 | 1.70789 | 0.362133 | 1.23772 | 1.91453 | 0.361659 | 1.23760 | 1.91465 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 1571 | 0.323598 | 0.90943 | 1.67572 | 0.349185 | 0.93963 | 1.76645 | 0.346320 | 0.92433 | 1.75660 |
| Ref. [38] |  | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |

Table 13d: Simply supported skew plate (SS1) under uniformly
distributed load: displacement and moment at the centre using
quadrilateral linked-interpolation elements [29], $L / h=1000$.

| Element | Q4-U2 |  |  | Q9-U3 |  |  | Q16-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.00087 | 0.0018 | 0.0048 | 0.15198 | 0.2596 | 0.5436 | 0.24947 | 0.7742 | 1.4298 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.00940 | 0.0222 | 0.0604 | 0.24245 | 0.5181 | 1.0542 | 0.32983 | 0.8966 | 1.7738 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.08101 | 0.1991 | 0.5320 | 0.31048 | 0.7569 | 1.5423 | 0.35493 | 0.9036 | 1.7595 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.20304 | 0.4738 | 1.1403 | 0.35670 | 0.9010 | 1.7574 | 0.37775 | 0.9730 | 1.8191 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.27309 | 0.6451 | 1.4369 | 0.37401 | 0.9595 | 1.8097 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.31365 | 0.7544 | 1.5910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.35353 | 0.8802 | 1.7386 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [38] | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |


| Mesh | Q4-LIM |  |  | $9 \beta Q 4$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{1}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{2}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{1}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{2}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.52154 | 0.962 | 1.927 |  |  |  |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.41851 | 1.083 | 1.830 | 0.501994 | 1.352235 | 2.056188 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.40941 | 1.128 | 1.772 | 0.442198 | 1.079937 | 1.955570 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.40725 | 1.134 | 1.819 | 0.430832 | 1.149342 | 1.964564 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.40759 | 1.135 | 1.835 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.40803 | 1.138 | 1.843 | 0.424505 | 1.143222 | 1.953153 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.40898 | 1.143 | 1.851 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [3] | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |
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Fig. 36: Simply supported skew plate under uniform load - a) principal moment $M_{11}$ distribution between points $A$ and $C-b$ ) principal moment $M_{22}$ distribution between points $A$ and $C$

It should be noted that near the singularity point the moments are getting high values of opposite signs, and there even a small relative difference between the exact result and the finite-element solution in one of the principal moments may strongly influence the other principal moment since they are related via Poisson's coefficient ( $v=0.3$ in this case) as shown in (12). Specifically, even though the finite-element
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solutions for the principal moment $M_{11}$ recognise the monotonous trend of the exact solution, the fact that, as absolute values, these moments are overestimated makes it difficult for the element to provide a solution for $M_{22}$ which would recognise the change in sign, slope and curvature evident in the exact solution. Of course, there exist techniques to reduce the error in $M_{11}$ which, as a result, would also correct this anomaly in $M_{22}$, e.g. the shear correction factor concept (see e.g. Fig. 14 in [24]), an idea that has not been followed up in this paper (see [8] for evidence).


Fig. 37: Simply supported skew plate under uniform load - principal moment in $D-C$ -
E direction ( $M_{22}$ ) distribution in Gauss points along diagonal A-C using: a) $8 \times 8$
element mesh, b) $16 \times 16$ element mesh for the whole plate
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For the quadrilaterals, the moment for direction "D-C-E" in Fig. 37 for the Gauss points on the diagonal from vertex $A$ to the central point $C$ of the plate shows that this family of elements struggles to follow the exact moment distribution near the singularity point.

### 5.6.4. Simply supported circular plate

The circular plate with the simply supported edges is analysed next. The element mesh is here irregular and the influence of such irregularity is studied on the element family in consideration.


Fig. 38: A simply supported (SS1) $1 \not 14$ of the circular plate under uniform load. Three meshes used in analysis in Tables 14 and 15.

$$
w_{c}^{*}=\frac{w_{c}}{q} \frac{100 D}{(2 R)^{4}}, \quad D=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}
$$
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$M_{x}=M_{y}=M_{c}$ - moment at the central point and $\quad M_{c}^{*}=\frac{M_{c}}{q} \frac{100}{(2 R)^{2}} \quad$ (at closest
Gauss point)

Additionally, not only the vertex nodes, but also the side nodes of the higher-order elements (six-node T6-U3 and ten-node T10-U4) are now placed on the circular boundary. The edge elements are not following the straight line rule, so they must behave as curvilinear transformed triangles for which linked interpolation does not solve the patch test exactly (unless the elements become infinitesimally small). Only the transverse displacements of the nodes on the circular plate boundary are restrained and the rotations remain free (SS1 boundary condition).

The results are given in Tables 14 and 15a for the thick and the thin plate, respectively. The problem geometry and material properties are given in Fig. 38 (only one quarter of the plate is analysed), where examples of the three node element mesh is shown. A comparison with the linked-interpolation quadrilateral elements [29] is given in Table 15b.

Table 14a: Simply supported circular plate (SS1) with uniform load on meshes
from Fig. 38: displacement and moment at the centre, $R / h=5$.

| Element | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| 6 | 12 | 0.401654 | 4.7169 | 42 | 0.415578 | 5.44937 | 72 | 0.415956 | 5.1564 |  |
| 24 | 42 | 0.411726 | 5.0351 | 156 | 0.415978 | 5.23677 | 270 | 0.416000 | 5.1567 |  |
| 96 | 156 | 0.414989 | 5.1280 | 600 | 0.415999 | 5.17735 | 1044 | 0.415991 | 5.1573 |  |
| 384 | 600 | 0.415747 | 5.1497 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] |  | 0.415994 | 5.1563 |  | 0.415994 | 5.1563 |  | 0.415994 | 5.1563 |  |
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| Element <br> mesh | T3BL[12 ] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 6 | 0.411627 | 4.72366 |
| 24 | 0.414296 | 5.02944 |
| 96 | 0.415555 | 5.12392 |
| 384 | 0.415891 | 5.14840 |
| 1536 | 0.415971 | 5.15445 |
| 6144 | 0.415989 | 5.15586 |
|  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] | 0.415994 | 5.1563 |

Table 14b: Simply supported circular plate (SS1) with uniform load:
displacement and moment at the centre, $R / h=5$, using quadrilateral linkedinterpolation elements [29]. Quadrilateral mesh pattern joins two triangles to
form a quadrilateral.

| Element <br> mesh | $Q 4-U 2$ |  | $Q 9-U 3$ |  | $Q 16-U 4$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |
| 12 | 0.410450 | 4.9346 | 0.415679 | 5.2185 | 0.416237 | 5.1547 |
| 48 | 0.414462 | 5.1251 | 0.415977 | 5.1831 | 0.416028 | 5.1552 |
| 192 | 0.415615 | 5.1494 | 0.415992 | 5.1641 |  |  |
| 768 | 0.415893 | 5.1546 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] | 0.415994 | 5.1563 | 0.415994 | 5.1563 | 0.415994 | 5.1563 |


| Element | $Q 4-$ LIM | $[3]$ | $9 \beta Q 4$ | $[9]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | 0.42191 | 4.8010 | 0.2812290 | 5.11686 |
| 6 | 0.41760 | 5.0682 |  |  |
| 12 | 0.41641 | 5.1337 | 0.4133307 | 5.16833 |
| 24 | 0.41610 | 5.1505 |  |  |
| 48 | 0.41602 | 5.1548 | 0.4153994 | 5.16188 |
| 192 |  |  | 0.4158536 | 5.15782 |
| 768 |  |  | 0.4159633 | 5.15669 |
| Ref. $[\boldsymbol{9 ]}$ | 0.415994 | 5.1563 | 0.415994 | 5.1563 |
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Table 15a: Simply supported circular plate (SS1) with uniform load on meshes
from Fig. 38: displacement and moment at the centre, $R / h=50$.

| Element | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |
| 6 | 12 | 0.341539 | 3.7043 | 42 | 0.396171 | 5.5157 | 72 | 0.396469 | 5.1413 |
| 24 | 42 | 0.379082 | 4.6686 | 156 | 0.398321 | 5.2512 | 270 | 0.397956 | 5.1505 |
| 96 | 156 | 0.393800 | 5.0691 | 600 | 0.398257 | 5.1758 | 1044 | 0.398176 | 5.1617 |
| 384 | 600 | 0.397745 | 5.1462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] |  | 0.398315 | 5.1563 |  | 0.398315 | 5.1563 |  | 0.398315 | 5.1563 |


| Element <br> mesh | T3BL [12 ] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 6 | 0.394319 | 4.70841 |
| 24 | 0.396576 | 5.03098 |
| 96 | 0.397848 | 5.12424 |
| 384 | 0.398200 | 5.14859 |
| 1536 | 0.398287 | 5.15448 |
| 6144 | 0.398308 | 5.15586 |
|  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] | 0.398315 | 5.1563 |

Table 15b: Simply supported circular plate (SS1) with uniform load:
displacement and moment at the centre, $R / h=50$, using quadrilateral linked-
interpolation elements [29].

| Element | Q4-U2 |  | Q9-U3 |  | Q16-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mesh | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | 0.3486007 | 3.85988 | 0.3904531 | 5.10265 | 0.397474 | 5.1484 |
| 12 | 0.3828163 | 4.82198 | 0.3981520 | 5.17865 | 0.398265 | 5.1553 |
| 48 | 0.3968067 | 5.12375 | 0.3982781 | 5.16337 |  |  |
| 192 | 0.3981513 | 5.15283 |  |  |  |  |
| 768 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] | 0.398315 | 5.1563 | 0.398315 | 5.1563 | 0.398315 | 5.1563 |
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| Element <br> mesh | Q4-LIM | $[3]$ | $9 \beta Q 4$ | $[9]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | 0.40576 | 4.7980 | 0.2692074 | 5.10642 |
| 6 | 0.40027 | 5.0670 |  |  |
| 12 | 0.39881 | 5.1335 | 0.3959145 | 5.14593 |
| 24 | 0.39844 | 5.1505 |  |  |
| 48 | 0.39835 | 5.1548 | 0.3977919 | 5.16070 |
| 192 |  |  | 0.3981935 | 5.15773 |
| 768 |  |  | 0.3982894 | 5.15668 |
| Ref. [9] | 0.398315 | 5.1563 | 0.398315 | 5.1563 |

Again, here the displacement-based elements presented converge very quickly towards the exact solution, in particular the nine-node $Q 9-U 3$ element and the 16 node Q16-U4 element, which provides better results than the other elements for the comparable number of the degrees of freedom.

In Table 16 the results for the simply supported circular plate subject to a concentrated load at the center point are given. The geometry and material properties are identical to the problem from Fig. 38. For the thin plate situation $(R / h=50)$ the exact solution for the central displacement is known [9], while the bending moment at the centre has a singularity.

Table 16: Simply supported circular plate (SS1) with the point load at the centre.
Displacement and moment at the centre, $R / h=50$.

| Element mesh | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |
| 6 | 12 | 0.904743 | 0.101126 | 42 | 1.235808 | 0.279935 | 72 | 1.256633 | 0.366285 |
| 24 | 42 | 1.125456 | 0.184045 | 156 | 1.258859 | 0.348196 | 270 | 1.262771 | 0.431803 |
| 96 | 156 | 1.230098 | 0.273531 | 600 | 1.263289 | 0.420456 | 1044 | 1.264680 | 0.503816 |
| 384 | 600 | 1.257735 | 0.352032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] |  | 1.262528 | $\infty$ |  | 1.262528 | $\infty$ |  | 1.262528 | $\infty$ |

With: $\quad w_{c}^{*}=\frac{w_{c}}{P} \frac{100 D}{4 R^{2}}, M_{c}^{*}=\frac{M_{c}}{P}$ (at closest Gauss point)
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### 5.6.5. Clamped circular plate

In this example the same elements, on the same meshes subjected to uniformly distributed loading are tested, only this time with the clamped boundary conditions. Results are given in Table 10 for the thin plate only $(R / h=50)$ where the exact solution for central displacement is known [9].


Fig. 39: Clamped $1 / 4$ of the circular plate under uniformly distributed loading. Three meshes used in analysis in Table 17
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Table 17: Clamped circular plate (SS1) with uniform load on meshes from Fig.
39: displacement and moment at the centre, $R / h=50$.

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ | d.o.f. | $w_{c}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{c}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| 6 | 8 | 0.004279 | 0.0977 | 40 | 0.110847 | 2.53527 | 60 | 0.095840 | 2.00680 |  |
| 24 | 34 | 0.049480 | 1.1886 | 140 | 0.097711 | 2.11270 | 246 | 0.097697 | 2.02936 |  |
| 96 | 140 | 0.089996 | 1.9073 | 568 | 0.097755 | 2.04355 | 996 | 0.097843 | 2.03233 |  |
| 384 | 568 | 0.096739 | 2.0157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] |  | 0.097835 | 2.03125 |  | 0.097835 | 2.03125 |  | 0.097835 | 2.03125 |  |


| Element <br> mesh | T3BL[12 ] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 6 | 0.0604946 | 1.21883 |
| 24 | 0.0877499 | 1.81886 |
| 96 | 0.0952366 | 1.97714 |
| 384 | 0.0970870 | 2.01702 |
| 1536 | 0.0975353 | 2.02667 |
| 6144 | 0.0978474 | 2.03163 |
|  |  |  |
| Ref. [9] | 0.0978348 | 2.03125 |

In all this axisymmetric problems with irregular meshes, the response of the elements is similar and the convergence is quite good on all element types. Higher order elements converge faster, but low order elements do not exhibit significant locking phenomena with coarse meshes.

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

## 6. Plate element as a facet shell element

In the plate theory considered so far, just three degrees of freedom are needed to describe the plate behavior. Nevertheless, the presented elements could be used as spatial elements, e.g. in shell type structures composed of flat parts. In this case, the nodal displacements and rotations related to the local element coordinate system must be transformed to the global coordinates in order to define the assembly matrix of the space model. Additionally, the strain energy due to membrane (in-plane) effects must be included, too.

### 6.1. Stiffness of the facet element in local coordinates

As it is well known [1], the behavior of the shell model is strongly affected by inplane effects which may become dominant over the bending and shear ones coming from the plate theory. Therefore, those effects must be taken into account and the inplane (membrane) stiffness should be derived together with the in-plane nodal forces. Again, this can be performed through appropriate choice of displacement interpolations.

Since our plate elements are derived to satisfy the constant bending state over an arbitrary patch of elements and the higher order elements can even satisfy the constant shear patch tests exactly, the membrane displacement interpolations should be chosen in the way to satisfy the constant in-plane stress patch tests. This can be easily

[^4]achieved by interpolating the in-plane displacements using just the Lagrangean isoparametric forms, because the in-plane stresses depend only on the first derivatives of the displacements. The in-plane constant-stress state is achieved by interpolating the displacement in two local plane directions $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, without the need of including the sixth degree of freedom - the drilling rotation, over the element:
$$
\bar{u}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{i} \bar{u}_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{i} \bar{v}_{i},
$$
where, $\bar{u}_{i}$ and $\bar{v}_{i}$ are the in-plane nodal displacements and $I_{i}$ are the Lagrangean interpolation functions.

The membrane equilibrium equations can be, also, derived from the stationarity condition for the potential of membrane energy functional over an element

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{\text {memb }}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\frac{1}{2} \int\left\langle\begin{array}{lll}
\varepsilon_{\overline{x x}} & \varepsilon_{\bar{y} \bar{y}} & \gamma_{\bar{x} \bar{y}}
\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{\bar{x} \bar{x}} \\
\sigma_{\bar{y} \bar{y}} \\
\tau_{\bar{x} \bar{y}}
\end{array}\right\} d A+\Pi_{\text {ext }}=\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int\langle\bar{u} \quad \bar{u}\rangle\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}
\end{array}\right] \frac{E h}{1-v^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-v}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{u} \\
\bar{v}
\end{array}\right\} d A+\Pi_{e x t}, \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last term describes the potential energy of the distributed and boundary inplane loading. In expression (60) the material is described with the same quantities like in (12) and (13) for the plate behavior and the membrane differential matrix

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

operator is introduced acting on the displacements in the local in-plane directions. Finally, the equilibrium equations over the membrane element can have a form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
k_{u}^{i j} & k_{\bar{u}, \bar{v}}^{i j}  \tag{61}\\
k_{\bar{v}, \bar{u}}^{i j} & k_{\overline{v, v}}^{i j}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{u}_{j} \\
\bar{v}_{j}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{f}_{\bar{u}, i} \\
\bar{f}_{\bar{v}, i}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

where $\bar{f}_{\bar{u}, i}$ and $\bar{f}_{\bar{v}, i}$ are the in-plane nodal forces in $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ local directions while the coefficients $k_{\bar{u}, \bar{u}}^{i j}, k_{\bar{u}, \bar{v}}^{i j}=k_{v, \bar{u}}^{i j}$ and $k_{v, \bar{v}}^{i j}$ are the stiffness coefficients.

When this result is combined with (51), the two sets of equations build the stiffness matrix of the facet shell element expressed by five d.o.f. per element node:

The above formulation is valid for any shape of element (triangles, quadrilaterals or higher order elements) and it demonstrates that the in-plane and out-of-plane effects are independent. This independence would not be valid after assembly of non coplanar elements is undertaken in global coordinates.
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Only in a special case where plane segments of a model allow an assembly of plane elements in some nodal points, the solving program must be able to eliminate the drilling rotation from the global matrix.

### 6.2. Transformation to global coordinates

The element stiffness matrix, as well as the vector of nodal forces in (62) are formed in a 'reference plane' of local coordinates $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$. To assemble the equilibrium equations of the structure, a transformation of the nodal local unknowns and loading is needed via transformation of coordinates to a common global system ( $x, y, z$ ). Initially all nodes are defined in global coordinates, so the 'reference plane' is found and inverse transformation from the global to the local coordinates is first needed. If the transformation of the unknowns and loading from global to local coordinates is described by matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$ as:

$$
\overline{\mathbf{a}_{i}}=\mathbf{T} \mathbf{a}_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\mathbf{f}_{i}}=\mathbf{T} \mathbf{f}_{i}
$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{a}_{i}}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ denote the vector of nodal unknowns in local and global coordinates respectively, and $\overline{\mathbf{f}}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ denote the six component load vector in the two coordinate systems. Equation (62) now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{\mathbf{K}}_{e}^{i j} \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{j}=\overline{\mathbf{f}}_{i} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and the transformation matrix has a six by six form

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\Lambda} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}
\end{array}\right],
$$

with $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ being a $3 \times 3$ matrix of direction cosines between the two sets of coordinate axes, that is

$$
\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos (\bar{x}, x) & \cos (\bar{x}, y) & \cos (\bar{x}, z) \\
\cos (\bar{y}, x) & \cos (\bar{y}, y) & \cos (\bar{y}, z) \\
\cos (\bar{z}, x) & \cos (\bar{z}, y) & \cos (z, z)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda_{\bar{x}, x} & \Lambda_{\bar{x}, y} & \Lambda_{\bar{x}, z} \\
\Lambda_{\bar{y}, x} & \Lambda_{\bar{y}, y} & \Lambda_{\bar{y}, z} \\
\Lambda_{\bar{z}, x} & \Lambda_{\bar{z}, y} & \Lambda_{\bar{z}, z}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\cos (\bar{x}, x)$ is the cosine of the angle between $\bar{x}$ - axis and $x$-axis, and so on. By the rules of orthogonal transformation the inverse of $\mathbf{T}$ is given by its transpose:

$$
\mathbf{a}_{i}=\mathbf{T}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{a}_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{f}_{i}=\mathbf{T}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{f}_{i}} .
$$

Substituting this transformation into (63) eventually gives the element equilibrium in the global coordinate system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{K}_{e}^{i j} \mathbf{a}_{j}=\mathbf{f}_{i} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{K}_{e}^{i j}=\mathbf{T}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{K}}_{e}^{i j} \mathbf{T} .
$$

### 6.3. Test examples for shells

In all the examples to follow the elements presented are denoted as triangles: T3-U2 for the tree-node element, $T 6-U 3$ for the six-node element and T10-U4 for the tennode element and quadrilaterals: $Q 4-U 2$ for the four-node element. The results are compared to the SHELL element by Taylor [1] incorporated in his FEAP program. All the new elements have been added to $F E A P$ as user-defined elements.

### 6.3.1. Folded plate structure example

In the following example a folded symmetrical structure is chosen to illustrate the behavior of the developed shell elements in which the flat finite element representation is physically exact. The structure is a plate folded in the manner illustrated in Fig. 40 and has two planes of symmetry - one containing the vertical rib, and other passing perpendicularly to the structure's crown. The structure is supported vertically along the horizontal edges and on the rigid diaphragm along the front edges. Other geometrical and load data are given in Fig. 40.
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Fig. 40 [1]: Folded plate structure - model geometry, loading and mesh, $E=3560$,

$$
\nu=0.43
$$
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The same model is analysed with various element types: all triangle elements in the family (three-node, six-node, and ten-node) and quadrilateral element with four nodes. The resulting displacements are then compared with those obtained using SHELL element from FEAP program. In Fig. 41.a-c, the displacements are given in contour graphs, and in Table 18 the numerical results are given for two control displacements both located in the vertical plane in the middle between the beam rib and the rigid diaphragm, the vertical one at the crown and the horizontal displacement at the bottom edge.


Fig. 41.a: A folded plate structure - transverse displacements of the model
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DISPLACEMENT 2
$-6.86 \mathrm{E}-03$
-4.75E-03
$-2.65 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-5.41 \mathrm{E}-04$
1.56E-03
3.67E-03
5.78E-03
7.88E-03

Current View
$\mathrm{Min}=-6.86 \mathrm{E}-03$
$X=2.04 \mathrm{E}+00$
$\mathrm{Y}=7.62 \mathrm{E}+00$
$Z=4.93 E+00$
Max $=7.88 \mathrm{E}-03$
$\mathrm{X}=4.93 \mathrm{E}+00$
$\mathrm{Y}=7.62 \mathrm{E}+00$
$\mathrm{Z}=2.04 \mathrm{E}+00$
Time $=0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$

Fig. 41.b: A folded plate structure - longitudinal displacements of the model


Fig. 41.c: $A$ folded plate structure - vertical displacements of the model
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Table 18: Folded plate structure: numerical results for control displacements of the midpoint at the crown ( $w_{c}$ is vertical) and at the edge ( $w_{e}$ is transverse horizontal)

| Element type | Number of elements | d.o.f. | Control displacements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $w_{c}$ | $w_{e}$ |
| T3-U2 | $\begin{aligned} & 272 \\ & 544 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1030 \\ & 3474 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.09513 \\ & -0.09879 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03929 \\ & 0.03944 \end{aligned}$ |
| T6-U3 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 128 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1161 \\ & 3860 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.10067 \\ & -0.10153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04065 \\ & 0.04066 \end{aligned}$ |
| T10-U4 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1026 \\ & 1485 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.10480 \\ & -0.10070 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04076 \\ & 0.04067 \end{aligned}$ |
| Q4-U2 | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 272 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1030 \\ & 3474 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.09884 \\ & -0.10077 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04036 \\ & 0.04048 \end{aligned}$ |
| SHELL element from FEAP | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 272 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1030 \\ & 3474 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.10024 \\ & -0.09992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04040 \\ & 0.04062 \end{aligned}$ |

All the results converge toward the result using SHELL element from FEAP , but better convergence can be observed with the higher order elements.

### 6.3.2. Scordelis-Lo roof

The Scordelis-Lo roof [40] is a standard test example for examining the behavior of shell elements and can be found in most textbooks on the topic. It is a shell of the barrel vault form with two planes of symmetry and only one constant curvature as it is shown in Fig. 42.
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Fig. 42 [40]: Scordelis-Lo roof. Radius $=25.0$; length $=50.0$; thickness $=0.25$; $E=4.32 \cdot 10^{8} ; v=0.00 ;$ loading $=90.0$ per unit area in $-Z$ direction; $u_{x}=u_{z}=0$ on curved edges: $N x N$ on shaded area.

The test result for this problem is usually the vertical displacement at the midpoint of the free edge. In Table 19 this control displacement is calculated for various meshes and for all element types used. The theoretical value for this result is -0.3086 [40] but most elements converge to a slightly lower value. MacNeal [40] uses the value 0.3024 for normalization of his results.

In Fig. 43.a. b. and c displacements are shown for vertical and both horizontal directions over the whole model divided into 8 by 8 triangular element mesh.
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Fig. 43.a: A Scordelis-Lo roof: longitudinal displacements of the model.


Fig. 43.b: A Scordelis-Lo roof: transverse displacements of the model.
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Fig. 43.c: A Scordelis-Lo roof: vertical displacements of the model with 8 nodes per edge.

Table 19: Scordelis-Lo roof: numerical results for control vertical
displacement at the middle point of the free edge

| Number of <br> nodes per side | T3-U2 | T6-U3 | T10-U4 | Q4-U2 | SHELL <br> element from <br> FEAP |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 3 |  |  | -0.9810 |  |  |
| 4 | -0.1827 | -0.3492 |  | -0.2375 | -0.3169 |
| 6 | -0.2294 | -0.3113 | -0.3582 | -0.2772 | -0.3068 |
| 8 | -0.2558 | -0.3045 |  | -0.2895 | -0.3039 |
| 12 | -0.2797 | -0.3023 | -0.3058 | -0.2987 | -0.3020 |
| Reference <br> $[40]$ | -0.3024 | -0.3024 | -0.3024 | -0.3024 | -0.3024 |

A good convergence can be observed for the higher order elements even though they can not model curved geometry exactly with their interior nodes, because they are designed as facet elements. Convergence of the T6-U3 element is even better then for the SHELL element from FEAP.
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### 6.3.3. Hemi-spherical shell example

The hemi-spherical shell problem is also a standard test example for examining the behavior of shell elements from the textbooks [40]. Its form is doubly curved representing a hemi-sphere with a hole within $18^{\circ}$ degrees at the pole. Only one quadrant is analysed in the model and loaded with two opposite unit loads at equatorial nodes intersecting both symmetrical planes (Fig. 44).


Fig. 44 [40]: A spherical shell problem. Radius $=10.0$; thickness $=0.04 ; E=6.825 \cdot 10^{7}$; $v=0.30$; loading: concentrated forces as shown; mesh: $N x$ N on quadrant.

The test results for this problem are the horizontal displacements at the force points on the equator which is free-edged (they are equal and opposite). In Table 20 this control displacement is calculated for various meshes and element types used. The theoretical
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value for this result is 0.0924 [40] in the case where the hole at the centre is not present. MacNeal [40] uses the value 0.0940 for normalizations of his results.


Fig. 45.a: A spherical shell problem: x-displacements of the model.


Fig. 45.b: A spherical shell problem: y-displacements of the model.
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| DISPLACEMENT 3 |
| :---: |
| -6.18E-02 |
| -5.30E-02 |
| -4.42E-02 |
| -3.53E-02 |
| -2.65E-02 |
| -1.77E-02 |
| -8.83E-03 |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Current View |
| $\mathrm{Min}=-6.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=6.12 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}=1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $\mathrm{Z}=0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Max $=0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}=0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\mathrm{Z}=0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Time $=0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Fig. 45.c: A spherical shell problem: vertical displacements of the model with 8 nodes per edge.

In Fig. 45.a. b. and c displacements are shown, for vertical and both horizontal directions over the whole model divided into 8 by 8 element triangular mesh.

Table 20: A spherical shell problem: numerical results for control horizontal displacements at the force points of the equator

| Number of <br> nodes per side | T3-U2 | T6-U3 | T10-U4 | Q4-U2 | SHELL <br> element from <br> FEAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.0179 |  | 0.0323 | 0.0119 | 0.0865 |
| 6 | 0.0610 | 0.0145 |  | 0.0610 | 0.0942 |
| 8 |  | 0.0208 | 0.0364 | 0.0828 | 0.0937 |
| 10 | 0.0803 | 0.0363 |  | 0.0889 | 0.0935 |
| 12 | 0.0940 | 0.0940 | 0.0940 | 0.0940 | 0.0940 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| [40] | 0.0940 |  |  |  |  |
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This example shows that using higher-order facet shells in modeling double-curved geometries, makes little sense as any improvement in accuracy of the elements is more then off-set by their inherent inability to describe curved geometries.

## 7. Bubble-enriched basic elements with linked interpolation

As the three-node and four-node elements are very simple to describe in a structural model compared to higher order elements with ten or sixteen nodes, they are widely used in practical problems. Here we present an idea of enriching the basic elements with bubble functions coming from higher-order interpolations for displacements and rotations. The new enriched elements are incompatible with the adjacent elements except at the nodal points, but they do not contain zero-energy modes and they are extremely accurate in describing deformations and stress resultants even in extremely demanding bench-marks with singularities in stress resultants.

We start by considering the conditions necessary to enrich the linked interpolation presented in 6.1.1, with additional bubble function that satisfies the zero shear patch test (constant bending test) in the displacement field.

For example, the standard linked interpolation for the T3-U2 element may be enriched with the bubble-function in the displacement field with a parameter $w_{b}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{T 3 U 2}+f_{b}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) w_{b} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{b}$ is arbitrary function of an order higher than the existing interpolation for $w_{T 3 U 2}$ (cubic or higher). The rotation interpolations are assumed to remain unchanged:

$$
\theta_{x}=\theta_{x T 3 U 2},
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{y}=\theta_{y_{T 3 U 2}} . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (65) and (66) index "T3U2" denotes the original reference value of the basic triangle element $T 3-U 2$. The expressions for shear (10) and curvature (11) will now have the following forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\
-\theta_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{x T 3 U 2} \\
\theta_{y T 3 U 2}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}\left(w_{T 3 U 2}+f_{b i} w_{b i}\right)= \\
& =\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\} w_{b}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b} w_{b}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{T 3 U 2}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{b} \\
& \text { and } \boldsymbol{\kappa}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}=\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{T 3 U 2} \quad \text { (unchanged). }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above expressions $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{S}, T 3 U 2}$ is a shear-strain displacement matrix of the interpolations for basic $T 3 U 2$ element, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b}$ is a shear-strain displacement matrix of the bubble interpolation and from it, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the shear-strain contribution due to the enriched bubble term.

The formation of the element stiffness matrix and the external load vector for the interpolation functions defined in this way follows the standard finite-element procedure. From the stationarity condition for the total potential energy functional (15) over an element, a system of algebraic equations is derived:
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$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}}^{T}  \tag{67a}\\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}} & k_{\mathbf{S}_{b}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{p}_{n} \\
w_{b}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{f}_{w \theta, q} \\
f_{b, q}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta}}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{S}, T 3 U 2}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} d A \quad$ and $\quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} d A$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} w \theta, b}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} d A \\
& \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} b}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{s}, b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b} d A
\end{aligned}
$$

and where $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a vector of all nodal parameters (nodal displacement and rotations) and $w_{\mathrm{b}}$ is a internal bubble parameter.

To satisfy the zero shear condition over a patchwork of elements, for the corresponding nodal deformations defined at the boundary nodes and in the absence of external loading $\left(f_{b, q}=0\right)$, a bubble parameter has to vanish. In expression (67a) the following part should therefore vanish:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}} \mathbf{p}_{n}+k_{\mathbf{s}_{b}} w_{b}=0 . \tag{67b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $w_{b}$ will be equal to zero if $k_{\mathbf{s} b}$ is non zero, because $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta b b}} \mathbf{p}_{n}=0$ for $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{T 3 U 2}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{S}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}=\mathbf{0}$ in the standard zero shear patch test. The condition is thus

$$
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w \theta, b}} \mathbf{p}_{n}=\int_{A}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial x}  \tag{67c}\\
\frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} d A \cdot \mathbf{p}_{n}=0
$$
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If more then one bubble parameter is taken in to consideration, together with corresponding independent bubble functions, $k_{\mathbf{S} b}$ is replaced with matrix $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S} b}$ and for the same reason this matrix should be invertible $\left(\operatorname{det} \mathbf{K}_{S b} \neq 0\right)$ and a vector of all bubble parameters $\mathbf{w}_{b}$ will be equal to zero.

The condition (67c) is obviously satisfied for any function $f_{b}$ in (65). There is no restriction in their selection, but, as already mentioned this functions have sense only if they are cubic or higher order.

Likewise we may ask ourselves if it is possible to enrich the basic interpolations of the triangle element with bubble functions in the rotation fields, so as to satisfy the zero shear patch test (constant bending test) as before.

The bubble-functions in the rotation fields, with bubble parameters $\theta x_{b}$ and $\theta y_{b}$ are expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{x}=\theta_{x T 3 U 2}+f_{b}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \theta_{x b} \\
& \theta_{y}=\theta_{y_{T 3 U} 2}+f_{b}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \theta_{y_{b}}, \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

while $w=w_{T 3 U 2}$ remains unchanged.

This interpolation makes both the shear and the curvature to change
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{y z}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\
-\theta_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{x T 3 U 2}+f_{b} \theta_{x b} \\
\theta_{y T 3 U 2}+f_{b} \theta_{y b}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\} w_{T 3 U 2}= \\
& =\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{b} \theta_{x b} \\
f_{b} \theta_{y b}
\end{array}\right\}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{s}, b} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{T 3 U 2}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{\kappa}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\kappa_{x} \\
\kappa_{y} \\
\kappa_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & 0 \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{x T 3 U 2}+f_{b} \theta_{x b} \\
\theta_{y T 3 U 2}+f_{b} \theta_{y b}
\end{array}\right\}=
$$

$$
=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial y} & 0 \\
-\frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{b i}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{x b} \\
\theta_{y b}
\end{array}\right\}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, b} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}=\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{T 3 U 2}+\mathbf{\kappa}_{b} .
$$

In the above expressions $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{S}, T 3 U 2}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{S}, b}$ are shear-strain displacement matrices as before and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, b}$ are bending-strain displacement counterparts. Follows that $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are the shear-strain and bending contributions due to the enriched bubble terms in rotational fileds.

The following system of algebraic equations is derived like before:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B}_{w \theta}} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}}^{T}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta, b}^{T}  \tag{70a}\\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B}_{w \theta, b}} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} b}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{p}_{n} \\
\boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{f}_{w \theta} \\
\mathbf{f}_{b}
\end{array}\right],
$$
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where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\theta_{x b} \\ \theta_{y b}\end{array}\right\}$. The parts of the stiffness matrix are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s} w \theta}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} d A & \text { and } & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} d A \\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w \theta, b}}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} d A & \text { and } & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta, b}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} d A \\
\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{b}}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, b} d A & \text { and } & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} b}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, b} d A
\end{array}
$$

Again, to satisfy the zero shear condition over a patchwork of elements, the following should vanish:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B}_{w \theta b}, b}\right) \mathbf{p}_{n}+\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} b}\right) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}=\mathbf{0} . \tag{70b}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}$ is equal to zero, if $\quad\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} b}\right)$ is invertible an if

$$
\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}_{w \theta, b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta b b}\right) \mathbf{p}_{n}=\mathbf{0},
$$

i.e. $\quad\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}_{w \theta, b}}+\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B} w \theta, b}\right) \mathbf{p}_{n}=$

$$
=\left(\int_{A}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & f_{b} \\
-f_{b} & 0
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}, T 3 U 2} d A+\int_{A}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial x} \\
-\frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial y} & 0 \\
-\frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{B}, T 3 U 2} d A\right) \cdot \mathbf{p}_{n}=0
$$

The first part of the sum is obviously equal to zero for any bubble function $f_{b}$ since $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{T 3 U 2}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{S}, T 3 U 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}=\mathbf{0}$, but the second part will be zero only if the folowing condition on the function $f_{b}$ is satisfied:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \int_{A} \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial x} d A  \tag{71}\\
-\int_{A} \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial y} d A & 0 \\
-\int_{A} \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial x} d A & \int_{A} \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial y} d A
\end{array}\right]=0,
$$

since $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{\kappa}_{T 3 U 2}=$ const. In other words the conditions on $f_{b}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial x} d A=0 \text { and } \int_{A} \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial y} d A=0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, more pairs of bubble functions can be chosen to enrich the rotations $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ if they satisfy the condition (72) and if they are of quadratic order of higher, because the basic interpolation for rotations is linear (Lagrangean).

### 7.1. Bubble-enriched three-node triangle

According to the conditions derived, a set of bubble functions, together with the associated bubble parameters can be generated to enrich the basic linked interpolation of T3U2 element. This bubble functions must be of the greater polynomial order then the basic ones, so increasing the order by one we can take:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{T 3 U 2}-\frac{2}{3} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right) w_{b 1}-\frac{2}{3} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}\left(\xi_{3}-\xi_{2}\right) w_{b 2}-\frac{2}{3} \xi_{3} \xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{3}\right) w_{b 3}+\frac{2}{3} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} w_{b 4}, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

to complete the full form of a third order polynomial in the displacement field. The rotations of the basic element can be enriched using

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{x}=\theta_{x T 3 U 2}+\left(4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+4 \xi_{2} \xi_{3}+4 \xi_{3} \xi_{1}\right) \theta_{x b 5}+27 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \theta_{x b 7} \\
& \theta_{y}=\theta_{y T 3 U 2}+\left(4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+4 \xi_{2} \xi_{3}+4 \xi_{3} \xi_{1}\right) \theta_{y b 6}+27 \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \theta_{y b 8} \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

to satisfy condition (72) in quadratic polynomial order and to enrich it with the cubic term as it was done with Auricchio-Taylor's triangle element T3BL. Coefficients associated with bubble functions are retained to resemble higher order functions of T6-U3 element. Even higher order terms can be added in both, displacement and rotational field.

### 7.2. Patch tests

The patch test from Section 5.5, for constant moment condition (zero shear state) is obviously satisfied with all combinations of bubble functions used to enrich the basic triangle element, because the new elements are developed to satisfy this condition. The results from Table 1 of Section 6 along with the results for the bubble-enriched elements are given in Table 21.
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Table 21: The patch test results for the triangular elements (control deformation at
point 1: $w_{l}$, see Fig. 18)

| Elements | Patch test for zero shear |  |  | Patch test for non-zero constant shear |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $h=1.0$ | $h=0.01$ | result | $h=1.0$ | $h=0.01$ | result |
| T3-U2 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2455871 | 0.000318188 | fail |
| T3-U2+2bub | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2455697 | 0.000198963 | fail |
| T3-U2+6bub | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2453268 | 0.000227389 | fail |
| T3-U2+8bub | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450938 | 0.000131686 | fail |
| T6-U3 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450933 | 0.000215467 | pass |
| T10-U4 | 0.5414000 | 0.5414000 | pass | -0.2450933 | 0.000215467 | pass |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analytical | 0.5414 | 0.5414 |  | -0.245093333 | 0.000215467 |  |
| solution |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.3. Clamped square plate

The example of Section 5.6 .1 is numerically analysed with various number of bubble terms added to the basic T3-U2 triangular element and results are presented in Tables 21 and 22. The results should be compared with the corresponding results from Table 3 b and 4 b .

Table 21: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at the centre with mesh pattern b), $L / h=10$.

| Element mesh | T3-U2+3 bubbles on $w$ |  | T3-U2+2 bubbles on $\theta x$ and $\theta y$ |  | T3-U2+6 bubbles |  | T3-U2+8 bubbles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | M* | $w^{*}$ | M* | $w^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 1x1 | 0.069500 | - |  |  |  |  | 0.069500 | 0.000 |
| 2x2 | 0.255266 | 1.843 | 0.117795 | 1.89529 | 0.190160 | 2.347 | 0.255266 | 1.866 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.201662 | 2.317 | 0.144223 | 2.26204 | 0.174075 | 2.585 | 0.201662 | 2.251 |
| 8x8 | 0.165679 | 2.337 | 0.149040 | 2.30613 | 0.157546 | 2.439 | 0.165679 | 2.302 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.154444 | 2.329 | 0.150118 | 2.31601 | 0.152318 | 2.356 | 0.154444 | 2.315 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.151471 | 2.324 | 0.150379 | 2.31893 | 0.150934 | 2.330 | 0.151471 | 2.319 |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.150716 | 2.321 | 0.150442 | 2.31972 | 0.150581 | 2.322 | 0.150716 | 2.320 |
| Ref. sol. [11] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |
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Reprint of Table 3b: Clamped square plate: displacement and
moment at the centre using mesh pattern b), $L / h=10$

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 $=$ MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ | 0.023810 | - | 0.133334 | 3.31099 | 0.150650 | 2.29043 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.107572 | 1.67455 | 0.150247 | 2.63888 | 0.150386 | 2.31564 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.141724 | 2.20593 | 0.150447 | 2.39797 | 0.150455 | 2.31955 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.148406 | 2.29114 | 0.150458 | 2.33941 | 0.1504622 | 2.31996 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.149959 | 2.31216 | 0.1504621 | 2.32486 | 0.1504626 | 2.31998 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.150339 | 2.31796 | 0.1504625 | 2.32121 |  |  |
| 64x64 | 0.150432 | 2.31948 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [37] | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  | 0.150191 |  |

Table 22: Clamped square plate: displacement and moment at the centre
with mesh pattern b), $L / h=1000$.

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2+4 bubbles on $w$ |  | T3-U2+2 bubbles on $\theta x$ and $\theta y$ |  | T3-U2+6 bubbles |  | T3-U2+8 bubbles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | M* | $w^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| 1x1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2x2 | 0.000088 | 0.00173 | 0.000100 | 0.00196 | -0.002356 |  | -0.002770 | 0.0069 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.002803 | 0.06056 | 0.002259 | 0.04371 | 0.004719 | - | 0.004595 | 0.0098 |
| 8 x 8 | 0.042227 | 0.8621 | 0.030990 | 0.58596 | 0.054766 | 0.9689 | 0.054734 | 1.040 |
| 16x16 | 0.113035 | 2.254 | 0.105644 | 2.12630 | 0.116354 | 2.186 | 0.116365 | 2.278 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.125597 | 2.312 | 0.124889 | 2.32005 | 0.125810 | 2.269 | 0.125834 | 2.306 |
| 64x64 | 0.126449 | 2.292 | 0.126408 | 2.29411 | 0.126511 | 2.267 | 0.126535 | 2.294 |
| Ref. sol. [11] | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 |

Reprint of Table $4 b$ : Clamped square plate: displacement and
moment at the centre using mesh pattern b), $L / h=1000$.

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 = MIN3 |  | T6-U3 |  | T10-U4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M^{*}$ |
| $1 \times 1$ |  |  | 0.000069 | 0.00206 | 0.130198 | 4.05972 |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.000062 | 0.00127 | 0.097869 | 2.03760 | 0.126738 | 2.74752 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.001793 | 0.03807 | 0.121256 | 2.44562 | 0.126527 | 2.34533 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.028267 | 0.55913 | 0.125905 | 2.38599 | 0.1265340 | 2.29246 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.104428 | 2.12079 | 0.1265121 | 2.31332 | 0.1265344 | 2.29055 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.124820 | 2.32200 | 0.1265341 | 2.29420 |  |  |
| $64 \times 64$ | 0.126403 | 2.29435 |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. sol. [11] | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 | 0.126532 | 2.29051 |

While for the relatively thick clamped plate model, the new elements do not show
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improvement, better behavior can be observed for the thin counterpart even if only a few bubbles are involved.

### 7.4. Simply supported skew plate

The example of Section 5.6.3 is also numerically analysed with enriched basic T3-U2 triangular element and the results are presented in Tables 23 and 24. The results should be compared with the corresponding results from Tables 12a and 13a.

Table 23: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=100$. Mesh pattern a).

| Element | T3-U2+ 4 bubbles on $w$ <br> +4 bubbles on $\theta$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I l}{ }^{*}$ |
|  | 0.4810901 | 0.6757 | 1.407 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.4446013 | 1.007 | 1.803 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.4469407 | 1.159 | 1.982 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.4402608 | 1.154 | 1.982 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.4356977 | 1.153 | 1.976 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.4328037 | 1.152 | 1.972 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.4294810 | 1.1529 | 1.9675 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [39] | 0.423 |  |  |

Reprint of Table 12a: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=100$. Mesh pattern
a).

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 =MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.425288 | 0.65647 | 1.35584 | 0.442337 | 1.59547 | 2.48908 | 0.259711 | 0.67991 | 1.29292 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.393156 | 1.00823 | 1.72050 | 0.391393 | 1.38415 | 2.10533 | 0.410136 | 1.17851 | 1.92258 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.376569 | 1.11747 | 1.84630 | 0.409028 | 1.18349 | 1.96100 | 0.419818 | 1.12774 | 1.94013 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.403524 | 1.09072 | 1.87753 | 0.419769 | 1.13814 | 1.95024 | 0.423207 | 1.13774 | 1.95080 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.412799 | 1.10360 | 1.92291 | 0.422181 | 1.13934 | 1.95222 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.416390 | 1.11361 | 1.93165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.419306 | 1.12368 | 1.93948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. [39] | 0.423 |  |  |  | 0.423 |  |  | 0.423 |  |

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

October, 2012.
Dragan Ribarić
Doctoral Thesis, 138

Sveučilište u Rijeci
Trg braće Mažuranića 10
$\qquad$
Table 24: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=1000$. Mesh pattern a).

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 +2 bubbles on $\theta$ |  |  | T3-U2 +3 bubbles on $w$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { T3-U2+ } 4 \text { bubbles on } w \\ +2 \text { bubbles on } \theta \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}$ * | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}$ * | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{1 I}{ }^{*}$ |
| 2x2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.394037 | 1.0176 | 1.6705 | 0.393946 | 1.017 | 1.671 |  |  |  |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.307325 | 1.1218 | 1.5932 | 0.308300 | 1.110 | 1.610 | 0.331507 | 1.154 | 1.677 |
| 16x16 | 0.296483 | 1.1266 | 1.6596 | 0.296772 | 1.037 | 1.628 | 0.353312 | 1.293 | 1.846 |
| 24x24 | 0.321440 | 1.1303 | 1.7299 | 0.321833 | 1.020 | 1.703 | 0.374427 | 1.195 | 1.869 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.341737 | 1.0623 | 1.7599 | 0.342898 | 0.9862 | 1.745 | 0.388913 | 1.106 | 1.873 |
| 48x48 | 0.369785 | 0.9909 | 1.8031 | 0.370433 | 0.9737 | 1.802 | 0.403554 | 1.082 | 1.896 |
| Ref. [38] | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |


| Element | T3-U2+ 4 bubbles on $w$ <br> +4 bubbles on $\theta$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ |
|  | 0.419517 | 0.645 | 1.342 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.394242 | 1.015 | 1.676 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.335814 | 1.172 | 1.710 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.358933 | 1.319 | 1.866 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.378839 | 1.2161 | 1.8842 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.392434 | 1.1223 | 1.8849 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.406097 | 1.0939 | 1.9047 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ref. $[38]$ | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |

Reprint of Table 13a: Simply supported skew plate (SS1): displacement and moment at the centre with regular meshes, $L / h=1000$. Mesh pattern
a).

| Element <br> mesh | T3-U2 = MIN3 |  |  | T6-U3 |  |  | T10-U4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{11}{ }^{*}$ | $w^{*}$ | $M_{22}{ }^{*}$ | $M_{I I}{ }^{*}$ |
| $2 \times 2$ | 0.421115 | 0.64790 | 1.33819 | 0.443104 | 1.62431 | 2.52722 | 0.246108 | 0.60215 | 1.16324 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 0.393999 | 1.01757 | 1.67013 | 0.348698 | 1.30423 | 1.98429 | 0.356469 | 1.05704 | 1.76663 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 0.305318 | 1.11745 | 1.58532 | 0.326564 | 0.86335 | 1.71994 | 0.365434 | 0.95047 | 1.78325 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 0.285607 | 1.05519 | 1.61422 | 0.358165 | 0.97179 | 1.80192 | 0.390331 | 1.02111 | 1.85543 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 0.309866 | 1.05211 | 1.68162 | 0.376441 | 0.99480 | 1.82813 |  |  |  |
| $32 \times 32$ | 0.330657 | 1.00984 | 1.72183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 0.360440 | 0.96062 | 1.77377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref. $[\mathbf{3 8}]$ | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 | 0.4080 | 1.08 | 1.91 |
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Again for the thick skew plate model, the new elements do not show much improvement (the convergence is equal as for the basic model, but coming from the upper side). For the thin skew plate, however, better behavior can be observed even for just a few bubbles involved and convergence is better than with the higher-order elements.

## 8. Conclusions

A displacement-based linked-interpolation concept for designing triangular and quadrilateral Mindlin plate and facet shell finite elements has been presented and numerically verified. The idea has been presented in its general form applicable to elements of any order, but the numerical results have been provided for the first three elements of the family, i.e. the three-, six- and ten-node triangular and four-, nine- and sixteen-node quadrilateral plate elements. In all the elements from the family the leading design principle is borrowed from the underlying family of Timoshenko beam elements. Thus the original shear strain condition of a certain order imposed along the beam has been applied to the plate element edges leading to 2 D generalisation of the beam-type linked interpolation for the displacement field, whereby, both nodal rotations contribute to the element out-of-plane displacements. Unlike Timoshenko's beam element, the resulting solutions are just approximations to the true solution problem.

It has been shown that, for the triangular elements with more than two nodes per side, additional internal degrees of freedom are required in order to provide full polynomial expansion of certain order. The number of these degrees of freedom is linearly growing by one, beginning with no internal degrees of freedom for the lowest-order three-node member of the family. For quadrilaterals, the number of bubble terms in displacement field is always one. The elements developed in this way give exact result for cylindrical bending of a corresponding order, e.g. quadratic distribution of
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the out-of-plane displacements for the two-nodes-per-side elements, cubic for the three-nodes-per-side elements and so on. However, in contrast to beams, the developed elements still suffer from shear-locking for very coarse meshes of the lowest-order element types.

Performance of these elements has been numerically analysed and it has been found out that they perform well for a number of standard benchmark tests. It has to be stressed, however, that the well-known Morley's skewed plate example turns out to be rather demanding. For this test, the proposed design principle cannot compete with the mixed-type approach. Otherwise, the higher-order members of the element family turn out to be successful when compared to the lower-order elements from literature for the problems with the same total number of the degrees of freedom.

When applied to shell models, these elements also perform competitively for a number of standard benchmark tests as the finite-element mesh is refined. Especially good performance can be observed for spatially folded plate models with significant thickness and dominant bending-shear effects over the membrane deformations. In general, as for the thick plates, for this kind of structures the higher order elements perform better then the low order ones.

On the other hand, for geometrically curved models, especially for double curved thin shells, presented elements have difficulty to converge as fast as the standard shell elements and the higher order elements, can not adapt to the actual curved model geometry with their inner nodes, so results of their performance is poorer then that of the basic three-node triangle or four-node quadrilateral. This difficulty can be

[^6]overcome if the higher order elements are designed as full shell elements.

Improvement of basic elements in the family may be achieved by adding higher-order bubble functions to the basic interpolation that pass the constant moment patch test. Both triangles with three nodes and quadrilaterals with four nodes can be enriched in this way. Excellent results are achieved for the thin limit situations on model plates, but improvements in the thick situations are not noticeable.

## 9. References

[1] O.C. Zienkiewicz, R.L. Taylor, The Finite Element Method for Solid and Structural Mechanics, Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2005.
[2] A. Ibrahimbegović, Quadrilateral finite-elements for analysis of thick and thin plates, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 110: 195-209, 1993.
[3] F. Auricchio, R.L. Taylor, A shear deformable plate element with an exact thin limit, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 118: 393-412, 1994.
[4] C. Chinosi, F. Lovadina, Numerical analysis of some mixed finite element methods for Reissner-Mindlin plate, Computational Mechanics 16: 36-44, 1995.
[5] F. Auricchio, C. Lovadina, Analysis of kinematic linked interpolation methods for Reissner-Mindlin plate problem, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 190: 2465-2482, 2001.
[6] R.L. Taylor, S. Govindjee, A quadratic linked plate element with an exact thin plate limit, Report No. UCB/SEMM-2002/10, University of California at Berkeley, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Berkeley, 2002.

[^7][7] F. Auricchio, R.L. Taylor, A new family of quadrilateral thick plate finite elements based on linked interpolation, Report No. UCB/SEMM-93/10, University of California at Berkeley, Department of Civil Engineering, Berkeley, 1993.
[8] Y.J. Liu, H.R Riggs, The MIN-N family of pure-displacement, triangular, Mindlin plate elements, Structural Engineering and Mechanics 19: 297-320, 2005.
[9] S. de Miranda, F. Ubertini, A simple hybrid stress element for shear deformable plates, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 65: 808-833, 2006.
[10] M.A. Crisfield, Finite Elements and Solution Procedures for Structural Analysis, Pineridge Press, Swansea, 1986.
[11] O.C. Zienkiewicz, Z. Xu, L.F. Zeng, A. Samuelsson, N.E. Wiberg, Linked interpolation for Reissner-Mindlin plate elements: Part I - A simple quadrilateral, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 36: 3043-3056, 1993.
[12] R.L. Taylor, F. Auricchio, Linked interpolation for Reissner-Mindlin plate elements: Part II - A simple triangle, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 36: 3057-3066, 1993.
[13] Z. Xu, O.C. Zienkiewicz, L.F. Zeng, Linked interpolation for ReissnerMindlin plate elements: Part III - An alternative quadrilateral, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 37: 1437-1443, 1994.

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements <br> October, 2012. Dragan Ribarić Doctoral Thesis, 145

[14] W.J. Chen, Y.K. Cheung, Refined quadrilateral element based on Mindlin/Reissner plate theory, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 47: 605-627, 2000.
[15] W.J. Chen, Y.K. Cheung, Refined 9-dof triangular Mindlin plate elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 51: 1259-1281, 2001.
[16] W.J. Chen, Y.K. Cheung, Refined discrete quadrilateral degenerated shell element by using Timoshenko's beam function, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 63: 1203-1227, 2005.
[17] A. Tessler, S.B. Dong, On a hierarchy of conforming Timoshenko beam elements, Computers and Structures 14: 335-344, 1981.
[18] G. Jelenić, E. Papa, Exact solution of 3D Timoshenko beam problem using linked interpolation of arbitrary order, Archive of Applied Mechanics 18: 171183, 2011.
[19] J. Przemieniecki, Theory of Matrix Structural Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.
[20] J. Rakowski, The interpretation of the shear locking in beam elements, Computers and Structures 37: 769-776, 1990.

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

Sveučilište u Rijec
Trg braće Mažuranića 10
व0.
[21] L. Yunhua, Explanation and elimination of shear locking and membrane locking with field consistence approach, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 162: 249-269, 1998.
[22] J.N. Reddy, On locking-free shear deformable beam finite elements, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 149: 113-132, 1997.
[23] S. Mukherjee, J.N. Reddy, C.S. Krishnamoorthy, Convergence properties and derivative extraction of the superconvergent Timoshenko beam finite element, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 190: 3475-3500, 2001.
[24] A. Tessler, T.J.R. Hughes, A three-node Mindlin plate element with improved transverse shear, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 50: 71-101, 1985.
[25] J.C. Simo, M.S. Rifai, A class of mixed assumed-strain methods and the method of incompatible modes, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 29: 1595-1638, 1990.
[26] T.J.R. Hughes, The Finite Element Method. Linear Static and Dynamic Finite Element Analysis, Dover Publications inc., Mineola, New York, 2000.
[27] S. Timoshenko, S. Woinowsky-Krieger, Theory of plates and shells, McGrawHill, London, 1959.

Građevinski fakultet Rijeka
Sveučilište u Rijeci
Trg braće Mažuranića 10

Rijeka, R. Matejčić 3
[28] Z. Zhu, A thick-thin triangular plate element, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 33: 963-973, 1992.
[29] D. Ribarić, G. Jelenić, Higher-order linked interpolation in quadrilateral thick plate finite elements, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 51: 67-80, 2012.
[30] F. Auricchio, R.L. Taylor, A triangular thick plate finite element with an exact thin limit, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 19: 57-68, 1995.
[31] C.M. Wang, J.N. Reddy, K.H. Lee, Shear deformable beams and plates, Elsevier, Oxford, 2000.
[32] K.J. Bathe, Finite Element Procedures, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1989.
[33] O.C. Zienkiewicz, R.L. Taylor, J.Z. Zhu, The Finite Element Method. Its Basis and Fundamentals, Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2005.
[34] W.J. Chen, Enhanced patch test of finite element methods, Science in China, Series G: Physics, Mechanics \& Astronomy, Vol. 49, No 2, 213-227, 2006.
[35] W.J. Chen, J.Z. Wang \& J.Zhao, Functions for patch test in finite element analysis of the Mindlin plate and the thin cylindrical shell, Science in China, Series G: Physics, Mechanics \& Astronomy, Vol. 52, No 5, 762-767, 2009.
[36] F. Kikuchi, K. Ishii, An improved 4-node quadrilateral plate bending element of the Reissner-Mindlin type, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 195: 3448-3460, 2006.
[37] K. Naumenko, J. Altenbach, H. Altenbach, V.K. Naumenko, Closed and approximate analytical solution for rectangular Mindlin plates, Acta Mechanica 147 (2001): 153-172.
[38] L.S.D. Morley, Bending of simply supported rhombic plate under uniform normal loading, Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics Vol. 15, 413-426, 1962.
[39] I. Babuška, T. Scapolla, Benchmark computation and performance evaluation for a rhombic plate bending problem, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 28: 155-179, 1989.
[40] R.H. MacNeal, R.L. Harder, A proposed standard set of problems to test finite element accuracy, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 1 (1985): 2-20.
[41] T.J.R. Hughes, T.E. Tezduyar, Finite elements based upon Mindlin plate theory with particular reference to the four-node bilinear isoparametric element. Journal of Applied Mechanics 48: 587-596, 1981.
[42] M.A. Crisfield, A quadratic Mindlin element using shear constraints, Computers and Structures 18: 833-852, 1984.

## Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements


[^0]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012.
    Dragan Ribarić
    Doctoral Thesis, 14

[^1]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012.
    Dragan Ribarić
    Doctoral Thesis, 19

[^2]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012.
    Dragan Ribarić
    Doctoral Thesis, 61

[^3]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

[^4]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012. Dragan Ribarić Doctoral Thesis, 111

[^5]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012.
    Dragan Ribarić
    Doctoral Thesis, 141

[^6]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012.
    Dragan Ribarić
    Doctoral Thesis, 142

[^7]:    Higher-Order Linked Interpolation in Moderately Thick Plate and Facet Shell Finite Elements

    October, 2012. Dragan Ribarić Doctoral Thesis, 144

